

Behavior Informatics and Computing

Professor Longbing Cao

Advanced Analytics Institute, University of Technology Sydney, Australia

References Download

http://www-

staff.it.uts.edu.au/~lbcao/publication/behavio r-informatics-tutorial-slidesx.pdf

- http://wwwstaff.it.uts.edu.au/~lbcao/publication/publicat ions.htm
- www.behaviorinformatics.org



Acknowledgement

- I appreciate all of my team members who have made contributions to this slide. The team member names can be found from the references.
- Appreciate Ms Can Wang's great efforts in creating many of the slides.









Behavior Informatics: Overview

Longbing Cao, In-depth Behavior Understanding and Use: the Behavior Informatics Approach, Information Science, 180(17); 3067-3085, 2010.

Can Wang, and Longbing Cao.<u>Modeling and Analysis of</u> <u>Social Activity Process</u>, in Longbing Cao and Philip S Yu (eds) Behavior Computing, 21-35, Springer, 2012



Behavior informatics – Concept Map



http://www.behaviorinformatics.org/

BEHAVIOR INFORMATICS

Behavior Informatics-IEEE Task Force:

http://www.behaviorinformatics.org/

🥹 Mozilla Firefox		
Eile Edit ⊻jew History Bookmarks	Tools Help	
http://www.behaviinformatics.org/	+	
Www.behaviorinformatics.o	rg	값 후 Ĉ 🛛 😽 🕈 Google 🦻
BEHAVIOR A	AND SOCIAL IN and Social Intelligence	NFORMATICS, IEEE TASK FORCE
MAIN MENU	Welcome to IE	EE Task Force on Behavior and Social Informatics
• Home		
 Calls for 		
 Introduction 		
 Research Topics 	Longhing Con-Philip S. Ver Editors	Behavior Computing:
 Activities 	Behavior Computing	Modeling, Analysis, Mining and Decision
 Projects 	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER	
 Communities 	Contraction and the second s second second secon	Longoing Cao, Philip 5 Yu (Eds.)
Resources	1 Springer	Springer, 2012
References		First dedicated source of references for the theory and applications of behavior informatics and behavior computing.
 About Us 		
Contact Us		
LINKS	News:	
• BI2012	110115.	
• BI2011	 Tutorial: <u>Behavior</u> 	Computing: Complex Behavior Modeling, Analysis and Mining, WI-IAT2012, 4 Dec 2012.
• BI2010	• 2012 Workshop o	in Behavior Informatics (BI2012) has been accepted by WI-IAT2012.
• AMII-SIG	 The first dedicate 	d reference to behavior informatics: Behavior Computing is available in Springer.
• DDDM-SIG		
• EDM-SIG	Opportu	nition
• MS-SIG	Opportui	nities.
	[Call for books]:	Calls for edited books, monographs and so on to the Book Series: Advanced Studies on Behavior Informatics.
	[Call for papers]: (Call for papers to the 2012 International Workshop on Behavior Informatics (BI2012).



1. Why Behavior Informatics & Computing?

Longbing Cao, In-depth Behavior Understanding and Use: the Behavior Informatics Approach, *Information Science*, 180(17); 3067-3085, 2010.

www.behaviorinformatics.org



Argument 1: Behavior is ubiquitous

- Behavior is an important analysis object in
 - Consumer analysis
 - Marketing strategy design
 - Business intelligence
 - Customer relationship management
 - Social computing
 - Intrusion detection
 - Fraud detection
 - Event analysis
 - Risk analysis
 - Group decision-making, etc.

Customer behavior analysis

Consumer behavior and market strategy

>Web usage and user preference analysis

Exceptional behavior analysis of terrorist and criminals

➤Trading pattern analysis of investors in capital markets



Argument 2: Major work focuses on Behavior exterior-driven analysis

• Example 1: Price movement as market behavior





Argument 3: Behavior interiordriven analysis can make difference

• Example 2: Announcement as market behavior driver









THE ADVANCED ANALYTICS INSTITUTE



• Why does this stock go so crazily?





• Short-term manipulation behavior as cause







 Associated accounts



Behavior is Ubiquitous

















lestpac







NOKIA Connecting People

IBM Research







Argument 4: Need to consider behavior context

• Microstructure data





Observation: Traditional analysis on behavior

- Empirical, qualitative, psychological, social etc
- Behavior-oriented analysis was usually conducted on customer demographic and transactional data directly
 - Telecom churn analysis, customer demographic data and service usage data are analyzed to classify customers into loyal and non-loyal groups based on the dynamics of usage change
 - Outlier mining of trading behavior, price movement is usually focused to detect abnormal behavior

so-called behavior-oriented analysis is actually not on customer behavior-oriented elements, rather on straightforward customer demographic data and business usage related appearance data (transactions)

Problems with traditional behavior analysis

- Customer demographic and transactional data is not organized in terms of behavior but entity relationships
- Human behavior is *implicit* in normal transactional data: *behavior implication*
 - cannot support in-depth analysis on *behavior interior*: focus on *behavior exterior*
 - Cannot scrutinize behavioral actor's belief, desire, intention and impact on business appearance and problems

Such behavior implication indicates the limitation or even ineffectiveness of supporting behavior-oriented analysis on transactional data directly.



Genuine behavior analysis does matter

- Behavior plays the role as internal driving forces or causes for business appearance and problems
- Complement traditional pattern analysis solely relying on demographic and transactional data
- Disclose extra information and relationship between behavior and target business problem-solving

A multiple-dimensional viewpoint and solution may exist that can uncover problem-solving evidence from not only demographic and transactional but behavioral (including intentional, social, interactive and impact aspects) perspectives



Support genuine behavior analysis

- Make behavior 'explicit' by squeezing out behavior elements hidden in transactional data
- A conversion from transactional space to behavior feature space is necessary
- Behavioral data:
 - behavior modeling and mapping
 - organized in terms of behavior, behavior relationship and impact

Explicitly and more effectively analyze behavior patterns and behavior impacts than on transactional data





2. What is Behavior?





What is behavior?

- An abstract behavior model
 - Demographics and circumstances of behavioral subjects and objects
 - Associates of a behavior may form into certain behavior sequences or network;
 - Social behavioral network consists of sequences of behaviors that are organized in terms of certain social relationships or norms.
 - Impact, costs, risk and trust of behavior/behavior network



Figure 1. An Abstract Behavioral Model



Abstract Behavior Model

Definition 1. A behavior (\mathbb{B}) is described as a four-ingredient tuple $\mathbb{B} = (\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{O}, \mathscr{C}, \mathscr{R}),$

- Actor & = ⟨SE, OE⟩ is the entity that issues a behavior (subject, SE) or on which a behavior is imposed (object, OE).
- Operation \$\mathcal{O} = \langle \mathcal{O} \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S} \mathcal{A} \rangle\$ is what an actor conducts in order to achieve certain goals; both objective (\$\mathcal{O} \mathcal{A}\$) and subjective (\$\mathcal{S} \mathcal{A}\$) attributes are associated with an operation. Objective attributes may include time, place, status and restraint; while subjective aspects may refer to action and its actor's belief and goal etc of the behavior and the behavior impact on business.
- Context C is the environment in which a behavior takes place.
- Relationship R = (θ(·), η(·)) is a tuple which reveals complex interactions within an actor's behaviors (named intra-coupled behaviors, represented by function θ(·)) and that between multiple behaviors of different actors (inter-coupled behaviors by relationship function η(·)).



- Behavior instance: behavior vector
 - $\vec{\gamma} = \{s, o, e, g, b, a, l, f, c, t, w, u, m\}$
 - basic properties
 - social and organizational factors
- Vector-based behavior sequences
 - $\vec{\Gamma}=\{\vec{\gamma_1},\vec{\gamma_2},...,\vec{\gamma_n}\}$
- Vector-oriented patterns



• Vector-oriented behavior pattern analysis

- Behavior performer:
 - Subject (*s*), action (*a*), time (*t*), place (*w*)
- Social information:
 - Object (o), context (e), constraints (c), associations (m)
- Intentional information:
 - Subject's: goal (g), belief (b), plan (l)
- Behavior performance:
 - Impact (f), status (u)

> New methods for vector-based behavior pattern analysis



Behavioral data

- Behavioral elements hidden or dispersed in transactional data
- behavioral feature space



- Behavioral data modeling
- Behavioral feature space
- Mapping from transactional to beha
- Behavioral data processing
- Behavioral data transformation





3. What is Behavior Informatics and Computing?

Longbing Cao, In-depth Behavior Understanding and Use: the Behavior Informatics Approach, *Information Science*, 180(17); 3067-3085, 2010.

www.behaviorinformatics.org



Behavior informatics – Concept Map



1. What is Behavior and Behavior Computing

What is Behavior Computing







Develop modeling and representation methods to capture behavior characteristics and dynamics.

Propose effective techniques and tools for emergent areas and domains in analyzing behaviors. Identify patterns in behavior entities and networks, such as detection, prediction and prevention of critical behavior.



Comprehensive Review

Behavior Modeling Structure



2013/4/16



Behavioral representation

- (Behavior modeling)
 - describing behavioral elements
 - extracting syntactic and semantic relationships amongst the elements
 - presentation and construction of behavioral sequences and properties
 - unified mechanism for describing and presenting behavioral elements, properties, behavioral impact and patterns


1. What is Behavior and Behavior Computing

Behavior Analysis





1. What is Behavior and Behavior Computing Behavior Mining



Behavioral impact analysis

- Behavioral instances that are associated with high impact on business processes and/or outcomes
- Modeling of behavioral impact

Behavior impact analysis
Behavioral measurement
Organizational/social impact analysis
Risk, cost and trust analysis
Scenario analysis
Cause-effect analysis
Exception/outlier analysis and use
Impact transfer patterns
Opportunity analysis and use
Detection, prediction, intervention and prevention



Behavioral pattern analysis

- Behavioral patterns without the consideration of behavioral impact
- Analyze the relationships between behavior sequences and particular types of impact

- Emergent behavioral structures
- Behavior semantic relationship
- Dynamic behavior pattern analysis
- Detection, prediction and prevention
- Demographic-behavioral combined pattern analysis
- Cross-source behavior analysis
- Correlation analysis 40

Social networking behavior
 Linkage analysis
 Behavior clustering
 Behavior network analysis
 Behavior self-organization
 Exceptions and outlier mining



Behavioral Anomaly Analysis

- Abnormal behavior
- Abnormal + normal behaviors
- Abnormal group behavior



Behavioral intelligence emergence

- Behavioral occurrences, evolution and life cycles
- Impact of particular behavioral rules and patterns on behavioral evolution and intelligence emergence
- Define and model behavioral rules, protocols and relationships, and
- Their impact on behavioral evolution and intelligence emergence



Behavior networking

- Intrinsic mechanisms inside a network
 - behavioral rules, interaction protocols, convergence and divergence of associated behavioral itemsets
 - effects such as network topological structures, linkage relationships, and impact dynamics
- Community formation, pattern, dynamics and evolution
 - > Intrinsic mechanisms inside a network
 - ➤· Behavior network topological structures
 - ➤· Convergence and divergence of associated behavior
 - > Hidden group and community formation and identification
 - ➤· Linkage formation and identification
 - ➤· Community behavior analysis



Behavioral simulation

- Observe the dynamics,
- The impact of rules/protocols/patterns, behavioral intelligence emergence, and
- The formation and dynamics of social behavioral network
 - Large-scale behavior network
 - Behavior convergence and divergence
 - Behavior learning and adaptation
 - Group behavior formation and evolution
 - Behavior interaction and linkage
 - Artificial behavior system
 - Computational behavior system
 - Multi-agent simulation



Behavioral presentation

- presentation means and tools
 - describe the motivation and the interest of stakeholders on the particular behavioral data
 - traditional behavior pattern presentation
 - visual behavioral presentation
 - Rule-based behavior presentation
 - Flow visualization
 - Sequence visualization
 - Dynamic group formation
 - Visual behavior network
 - Behavior lifecycle visualization
 - Temporal-spatial relationship
 - Dynamic factor tuning, configuration and effect analysis
 - Behavior pattern emergence visualization
 - Distributed, linkage and collaborative visualization 45



Behavior analysis process



$$BIA: \Psi(DB) \stackrel{\Theta(\vec{\Gamma})}{\longrightarrow} \vec{\Gamma} \stackrel{\Omega, e, c, t_i()}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{P} \stackrel{\Lambda, e, c, b_i()}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{R}$$

BIA PROCESS: The Process of Behavior Informatics and Analytics INPUT: original dataset Ψ : OUTPUT: behavior patterns \widetilde{P} and operationalizable business rules \tilde{R} ; Step 1: Behavior modeling $\Theta(\vec{\Gamma})$; Given dataset Ψ : Develop behavior modeling method θ ($\theta \in \Theta$) with technical interestingness t_i (); Employ method θ on the dataset Ψ : Construct behavior vector set $\vec{\Gamma}$: Step 2: Converting to behavioral data $\Phi(\vec{\Gamma})$; Given behavior modeling method θ ; FOR j = 1 to (count(Ψ)) Deploy behavior modeling method θ on dataset Ψ : Construct behavior vector $\vec{\gamma}$: ENDFOR Construct behavior dataset $\Phi(\vec{\Gamma})$; Step 3: Analyzing behavioral patterns PT; Given behavior data $(\Phi(\vec{\Gamma}))$: Design pattern mining method $\omega \in \Omega$; Employ the method ω on dataset $\Phi \vec{\Gamma}$: Extract behavior pattern set \tilde{P} ; Step 4: Converting behavior patterns \tilde{P} to operationalizable business rules \tilde{R} ; Given behavior pattern set \tilde{P} ; Develop behavior modeling method A; Involve business interestingness b_i () and constraints c in the environment e: Generate business rules \widetilde{R} ;





4. Related Work



Related Work



Related Work

Several qualitative models have been abstracted:

- belief-desire-intention model
- situation calculus
- human-machine interaction
- reasoning about action
- behavior composition
- action recognition and simulation
- action coordination and planning
- modeling systems rather than behaviors



- ...

Related Work

Several quantitative models have been proposed:

- user behavior modeling
- activity monitoring
- customer and consumer behavior analysis
- ontological engineering and semantic web
- sequence analysis
- reality mining
- activity mining
- multivariate time series
- coupled hidden Markov model



Research Limitations

1

Traditional behavior modeling that mainly relies on qualitative methods from behavior and social sciences often leads to ineffective and limited analysis in understanding social activities deeply and accurately.

2

Traditional behavior modeling approaches have too many styles and forms according to distinct situations. There is very limited research on formalizing the concept of behavior and its elements. There are no formal behavior representation models stated from a general perspective and providing a comprehensive understanding of behavior constitution.



Research Limitations

Traditional behavior expressiveness is too weak to reveal that behavior plays the key role of an internal driving force for social activities.

The existing work often overlooks the checking of behavior modeling, which weakens the soundness and robustness of models built for complex behavior applications.

5

3

4

Complex coupling relationships between group behaviors are often ignored or only weakly addressed; few building blocks are available to explicitly model complex interactions between group behaviors.



Research Issues

Qualitative Reasoning and Verification

With the formal representation of coupled behaviors, the qualitative analytics to address the task of behavior reasoning and verification is in great demand.

• Quantitative Leaning and Evaluation

The quantitative research to target behavior learning and evaluation must be focused on.

• Integrated Understanding of Behavior Algebra

An appropriate way could be chosen to integrate these two studies to obtain an integrated understanding of the implicit complex behaviors HE ADVANCED ANALYTICS INSTITUTE

Research Question







5. Behavior Modeling and Representation



Behavior Modeling and Representation

UTS/AAI Technique Report 2011

Formalization and Verification of Group Behavior Interactions

Can Wang, Longbing Cao

University of Technology, Sydney, Australia



Behavior Modeling and Checking Framework



Ontology-based Behavior Modeling and Checking



3. Behavior Model/Representation Behavior Visual Descriptor

• **Actor**: refers to the subject(s) or object(s) of a behavior, for example, organizations, departments, systems, agents and people involved in an activity or activity sequence.

• **Operation**: represents activities, actions or events in a behavior or behavior sequence.

• **Coupling**: refers to the interaction between behaviors, including connections between actors and/or operations of either one or multiple actors.



Behavior Visual Descriptor



• Instance Of ----> Connecting instances (in Rectangle) to their corresponding classes

• Subclass Of \longrightarrow Linking a subclass (in Oval) to its parent class

Object Property - - →
 Denoting the
 relationships between
 instances, between an
 object and its properties
 (in Rounded Rectangle),
 or between properties.

UTS: AA

Overall Single Behavior Model



Relationship Sub-model



Relationship	enable	disenable	or-split	and-split	or-join	and-join
Logic Form	$a \rightarrow b$	$\neg(a \rightarrow b)$	$a \rightarrow (b \lor c)$	$a \rightarrow (b \wedge c)$	$(a \lor b) \to c$	$(a \wedge b) \rightarrow c$



21/6/2010

Relationships between Agent Behaviors





Coupling Relationships

Coupling Relationships

Perspectives

Inferential

Temporal

Serial Coupling Parallel coupling Synchronous relationship Asynchronous coupling Interleaving Shared-variable

Channel system

Causal Coupling Conjunction Coupling Disjunction Coupling Exclusive Coupling

Party-based

One-Party-Multiple-Operation Multiple-Party-One-Operation

Multiple-Party-Multiple-Operation UTS: A

3. Behavior Model/Representation Temporal Coupling

- Serial coupling, denoted by {B₁;B₂}, showing the situation in which behavior B₂ follows behavior B₁.
- **Parallel coupling**, by which behaviors happen in varying concurrent manners, including synchronous coupling and asynchronous coupling.

- Synchronous relationship, denoted by $\{B_1 || B_2\}$, indicating that B1 and B2 present at the same time based on certain communication protocols.



Temporal Coupling

Asynchronous coupling, showing that two behaviors
B₁ and B₂ interact with each other at different time points.
* Interleaving, denoted by {B₁ : B₂}, representing the

involvement of independent complex behaviors by nondeterministic choice (independently).

* Shared-variable, denoted by $\{B_1 \mid | B_2\}$, signifying that the relevant behaviors have variables in common.

* Channel system, denoted by $\{B_1 \mid B_2\}$, is a parallel system in which complex behaviors communicate via a channel, for instance, first-in and first-out buffers. **UTS:**

3. Behavior Model/Representation Inferential Coupling

- Causal coupling, represented as $\{B_1 \rightarrow B_2\}$, meaning that behavior B_1 causes behavior B_2 .
- Conjunction coupling, $\{B_1 \land B_2\}$, specifying that B_1 and B_2 take place together.
- Disjunction coupling, {B₁ V B₂}, by which at least one of the associated behaviors must happen.
- Exclusive coupling, $\{B_1 \bigoplus B_2\}$, indicating that if B_1 happens, B_2 will not happen, and vice versa.





Party-based Coupling

- One-Party-Multiple-Operation, represented as {(B₁, B₂)^[A₁]}, depicts that distinct behaviors B₁ and B₂ are performed by the same actor A₁.
- Multiple-Party-One-Operation, shown as {(B₁)^[A₁A₂], represents that multiple actors A₁ and A₂ implement the same behavior B₁ to achieve their own intentions.
- Multiple-Party-Multiple-Operation, presented as {(B₁, B₂)<sup>[A₁A₂]}, describes that different behaviors B₁ and B₂ are carried out by distinct actors A₁ and A₂.
 </sup>



Behavior Formal Descriptor

Definition 1 (Behavior): A behavior \mathbb{B} is described as a three-ingredient tuple $\mathbb{B} = (\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{O}, \mathscr{C})$, where:

- Actor \mathscr{A} is the entity that issues a behavior or on which a behavior is imposed.
- Operation *O* is what an actor conducts in order to achieve certain goals.
- Coupling $\mathscr{C} = \langle \theta(\cdot), \eta(\cdot) \rangle$ is a tuple that reveals complex interactions including intra-coupling $(\theta(\cdot))$ and inter-coupling $(\eta(\cdot))$.

For instance, in a stock market, a behavior can be represented as "an investor places a buy order". The involved actor is the "investor" himself or herself, the operation is the transaction of "buy". The third component coupling exposes the intra-relationship between this behavior and this investor's sell order on the other day, together with the inter-relationship between this behavior and another investor's buy order on the same day.

Behavior Formal Descriptor

We tackle the coupled behaviors from either one or different actors, denoted as intra-coupling and intercoupling, respectively.

Behavior Feature Matrix



Intra-Coupling

The intra-coupling reveals the complex couplings within an actor's distinct behaviors.

Definition 2 (Intra-Coupled Behaviors): Actor \mathscr{A}_i 's behaviors \mathbb{B}_{ij} $(1 \leq j \leq J_{max})$ are intra-coupled in terms of coupling function $\theta_j(\mathbb{B})$,

$$\mathbb{B}_{i}^{\theta} ::= \mathbb{B}_{i} (\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{O}, \theta) | \sum_{j=1}^{J_{max}} \theta_j(\mathbb{B}) \odot \mathbb{B}_{ij}, \qquad (IV.2)$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^{J_{max}} \odot$ means the subsequent behavior of \mathbb{B}_i is \mathbb{B}_{ij} intra-coupled with $\theta_j(\mathbb{B})$, and so on.

$$FM(\mathbb{B}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{B}_{11} & \mathbb{B}_{12} & \dots & \mathbb{B}_{1J_{max}} \\ \mathbb{B}_{21} & \mathbb{B}_{22} & \dots & \mathbb{B}_{2J_{max}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{B}_{I1} & \mathbb{B}_{I2} & \dots & \mathbb{B}_{IJ_{max}} \end{pmatrix}$$

For instance, in the stock market, the investor will place a sell order at some time after buying his or her desired instrument due to a great rise in the trading price. This is, to some extent, one way to express how these two behaviors are intra-coupled with each other.

THE ADVANCED ANALYTICS INSTITUTE

Inter-Coupling

The inter-coupling embodies the way multiple behaviors of different actors interact.

Definition 3 (Inter-Coupled Behaviors): Actor \mathscr{A}_i 's behaviors \mathbb{B}_{ij} $(1 \leq i \leq I)$ are inter-coupled with each other in terms of coupling function $\eta_i(\mathbb{B})$,

$$\mathbb{B}^{\eta}_{\cdot j} ::= \mathbb{B}_{\cdot j}(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{O}, \eta) | \sum_{i=1}^{I} \eta_i(\mathbb{B}) \odot \mathbb{B}_{ij}, \qquad (\text{IV.3})$$

where $\sum_{i}^{I} \odot$ means the subsequent behavior of \mathbb{B}_{i} is \mathbb{B}_{ij} intercoupled with $\eta_{i}(\mathbb{B})$, and so on.

$$FM(\mathbb{B}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{B}_{11} & \mathbb{B}_{12} & \dots & \mathbb{B}_{1J_{max}} \\ \mathbb{B}_{21} & \mathbb{B}_{22} & \dots & \mathbb{B}_{2J_{max}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{B}_{I1} & \mathbb{B}_{I2} & \dots & \mathbb{B}_{IJ_{max}} \end{pmatrix}$$

For instance, a trading happens successfully only when an investor sells the instrument at the same price as the other investor buys this instrument. This is another example of how to trigger the interactions between intercoupled behaviors.

Coupling

In practice, behaviors may interact with one another in both ways of intra-coupling and inter-coupling.

Definition 4 (Coupled Behaviors): Coupled behaviors \mathbb{B}_c refer to behaviors $\mathbb{B}_{i_1j_1}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{i_2j_2}$ that are coupled in terms of relationships $h(\theta(\mathbb{B}), \eta(\mathbb{B}))$, where $(i_1 \neq i_2) \lor (j_1 \neq j_2) \land (1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq I) \land (1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq J_{max})$

$$\mathbb{B}_{c} = (\mathbb{B}_{i_{1}j_{1}}^{\theta})^{\eta} * (\mathbb{B}_{i_{2}j_{2}}^{\theta})^{\eta} ::= \mathbb{B}_{ij}(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{O}, \mathscr{C}) | \sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}=1}^{I} \sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}=1}^{J_{max}} h(\theta_{j_{1}j_{2}}(\mathbb{B}), \eta_{i_{1}i_{2}}(\mathbb{B})) \odot (\mathbb{B}_{i_{1}j_{1}}\mathbb{B}_{i_{2}j_{2}}), \quad (\text{IV.4})$$

where $h(\theta_{j_1,j_2}(\mathbb{B}), \eta_{i_1i_2}(\mathbb{B}))$ is the coupling function denoting the corresponding relationships between $\mathbb{B}_{i_1j_1}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{i_2j_2}, \sum_{i_1,i_2=1}^{I} \sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^{J_{max}} \odot$ means the subsequent behaviors of \mathbb{B} are $\mathbb{B}_{i_1j_1}$ coupled with $h(\theta_{j_1}(\mathbb{B}), \eta_{i_1}(\mathbb{B})), \mathbb{B}_{i_2j_2}$ with $h(\theta_{j_2}(\mathbb{B}), \eta_{i_2}(\mathbb{B}))$, and so on.

For instance, we consider both the successful trading between investor A_1 (buy) and investor A₂ (sell), and then the selling behavior conducted by A_1 after he or she has bought the instrument at a relative low price.


3. Behavior Model/Representation Behavior Aggregator

We conduct behavior aggregations to interpret the interactions of intra-coupled and inter-coupled behaviors. The outcomes of the behavior aggregations form the basis of behavior verification.





3. Behavior Model/Representation Intra-Coupled Aggregation For the behaviors conducted by the same actor, we interpret the behavior dynamics in terms of a transition system (TS). **TS: Directed Graphs Nodes: System States Edges: Model Transitions** A state describes the State changes behavior status at a of a system. certain moment of system dynamics. TS is often used in computer science for modeling the behavior dynamics of a system.

UTS: AA

Intra-Coupled Aggregation

In particular, the TS interpretation of the intra-coupled behaviors \mathbb{B}_{i}^{θ} for actor \mathscr{A}_{i} is the tuple (St; Act; \rightarrow ; In), where θ_{j} is the intra-coupling function.

- St = $\{\theta_j(\mathbb{B})\}$ is a set of states.
- Act = $\{\mathcal{O}\}$ is a set of actions or operations.
- $\theta_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbb{B}) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{O}} \theta_{\mathbf{j}+1}(\mathbb{B})$ is a transition relation.
- In = $\{\theta_0(\mathbb{B})\}$ is a set of initial states.

Every actor is interpreted by an independent transition system, we regard an operation as a corresponding action in TS; and the intra-coupling function θ_j , which links intra-coupled behaviors, represents the associated states in TS to connect all the involved operations.



Inter-coupled Aggregation

Apart from the intra-coupled behaviors, inter-coupling \mathbb{B}^{η}_{j} refers to interactions between operations by different actors.

Definition 5 (Inter-coupling Operators): The behavior inter-couplings are essentially the various interactions among multiple behaviors. Let \mathbb{B}_1 and \mathbb{B}_2 be two behaviors, then the inter-coupling function $\eta_i(\mathbb{B})$ is defined as:

 $\eta_i(\mathbb{B}) ::= \mathbb{B}_1; \mathbb{B}_2 \mid \mathbb{B}_1 || \mathbb{B}_2 \mid \mathbb{B}_1 : \mathbb{B}_2 \mid \mathbb{B}_1 || \mathbb{B}_2 \mid \mathbb{B}_1 || \mathbb{B}_2 \mid \mathbb{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_2 \mid \mathbb{B}_1 \wedge \mathbb{B}_2 \mid \mathbb{B}_1 \vee \mathbb{B}_2 \mid \mathbb{B}_1 \oplus \mathbb{B}_2 \mid f(\mathbb{B}_1)^{[\mathscr{A}_1]}.$ (V.1)



3. Behavior Model/Representation Combined Aggregation

With the intra-coupled and inter-coupled interactions defined, we develop the combined aggregation of coupled behaviors to model complex behavior-oriented applications.



Behavior Combination

First, we consider the extension of behavior sequences towards hierarchical and hybrid combinations, in which behaviors are associated in a hierarchical structure that consists of different relationships.



Rule Reduction

Second, interaction rules (IR) are induced to support appropriate combinational reduction of multiple coupling relationships.

Definition 6 (Interaction Rule): An interaction rule

$$IR: \mathbb{B}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{B}_n \to \frac{f(\mathbb{B}_1, \cdots, \mathbb{B}_n)}{g(\mathbb{B}_1, \cdots, \mathbb{B}_n)}$$
(V.3)

is the combinational equivalence and reduction about the coupling relationships among behaviors $\mathbb{B}_i (1 \le i \le n)$, where $f(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$ are two coupling expressions for the involved behaviors.

In the above SOS-notation based interaction rule, if the numerator formula holds, then the denominator part holds as well. With interaction rules, we can perform reasoning about behaviors to simplify and conclude critical rules.



3. Behavior Model/Representation Rule Reduction

For instance, four interaction rules are induced as follows (where *; *1; *2 are the coupling operators):



3. Behavior Model/Representation TS Conversion

Finally, concurrent transition systems (TSs) are constructed to specify complex interactions by utilizing temporal, inferential, and party-based couplings to describe, combine and aggregate the coupling relationships.

The relationships among TSs are concerned since complex behaviors are represented as TSs. Assume that there are n complex behaviors (TSs) associated with one another in terms of different coupling relationships.



TS Conversion

- Serial Coupling: $TS_1; TS_2; \cdots; TS_n$
- Synchronous Coupling: $TS_1 \parallel TS_2 \parallel \cdots \parallel TS_n$
- Interleaving Coupling: $TS_1: TS_2: \cdots: TS_n$
- Shared-variable Coupling: $TS_1|||TS_2||| \cdots |||TS_n|$
- Channel System Coupling: $TS_1 | TS_2 | \cdots | TS_n$
- Causal Coupling: $TS_1 \rightarrow TS_2$
- Conjunction Coupling: $TS_1 \wedge TS_2$
- Disjunction Coupling: $TS_1 \lor TS_2$
- Exclusive Coupling: $TS_1 \oplus TS_2$
- Hierarchical Coupling: $f(g(TS_1, TS_2, \cdots, TS_n))$
- Hybrid Coupling: $f(TS_1).g(TS_2), f(TS_1)^*, (TS_1)^{\omega}$
- OPMO Coupling: $f(TS_1, TS_2, \cdots, TS_n)^{[A_1]}$
- MPOO Coupling: $f(TS_1)^{[A_1A_2\cdots,A_n]}$
- MPMO Coupling: $f(TS_1, TS_2, \cdots, TS_n)^{[A_1A_2\cdots A_n]}$

The combined aggregation of coupled behaviors reflects the semantics of behavior coupling and interaction.



Group Behavior Representation and Verification





3. Behavior Model/Representation Behavior Constraint Indicator

In order to improve the quality of the behavior model, a simulation can be conducted prior to the behavior checking. For verification purposes, the behavior model under consideration needs to be accompanied by a relevant constraint specification that is to be verified.

Constraints, i.e., prior simulations, can be used effectively to get rid of the simpler categories of modeling errors. To make a rigorous verification possible, constraints should be described in a precise and unambiguous manner. This is done through a constraint specification language.

For instance, a business constraint in stock markets is that investors are not allowed to make transactions after trading hours.





Behavior Constraint Indicator



Behavior Checker

Different types of formal verification:

Manual Proof of Mathematical Arguments

- Time-consuming
- Error-prone
- Often not economically viable

Interactive Computer Aided Theorem Proof

- Require significant expert knowledge

Automated Model Checking

An automated technique that, given a finite-state model of a system and a formal property, can systematically check whether or not this property holds for that model. If not, model checkers can help to identify the input sequence that triggers the failure.



Behavior Checker





Case study of behavior representation



Graphical Action Sub-model of Online Shopping based on Actor's Roles



Graphical Action Sub-model of Online Shopping based on *Stages*



Behavior Modeling and Checking Framework



Ontology-based Behavior Modeling and Checking



3. Behavior Model/Representation Case Study: Robot Soccer Game

Snapshot of the four-legged league in the Robocup soccer competition: two teams participate in a Robocup soccer competition with four Sony AIBO robots in each group.



Case Study: Behavior Descriptor

A case-based multi-robot architecture with n robots and k retrievers:



Robot RC firstly retrieves a case from the case space and then informs the rest of the Ords robot players. Once the Ords successfully receive the messages from RC, they send acknowledgments back to the retriever RC for confirmation. the RC also coordinates all the other players including itself to defeat the opponent. All the robots, no matter RC or Ord, could abort the executions at any moment if timeout expires, or messages or cases are lost in the interactions.



Case Study: Behavior Aggregator

Transition system models $TS(\mathbb{B}(RC_p))$ and $TS(\mathbb{B}(Ord_q))$



3. Behavior Model/Representation Case Study: Behavior Aggregator

Inter-coupled Aggregation $\eta_i^{(RC,Ords)}$

 $(\mathbb{B}(RC)|\mathbb{B}(Ord_2)):(\mathbb{B}(RC)|\mathbb{B}(Ord_3)):(\mathbb{B}(RC)|\mathbb{B}(Ord_4))$

The syntax of coupled behaviors between retriever RC and players Ords:

 $\mathbb{B}(RC, Ords) = (\mathbb{B}^{\theta^{(RC)}})^{\eta^{(RC, Ords)}} * (\mathbb{B}^{\theta^{(Ords)}})^{\eta^{(RC, Ords)}}$

Combined Aggregation h(RC,Ord)

 $TS(\mathbb{B}(RC))|(TS(\mathbb{B}(Ord_2)):TS(\mathbb{B}(Ord_3)):TS(\mathbb{B}(Ord_4)))$



3. Behavior Model/Representation Case Study: Behavior Constraint Indicator

Ontology Axiom

 $\Box(\neg(execute_attack^{[Ord_i]} \land execute_block^{[Ord_i]}))$

It is never the case that any Ord can both implement the executions of attack and block opponent players

Desired Constraint

 $\Box(wait_end^{[Ord_i]} \cup (\wedge_{j \neq i} wait_end^{[Ord_j]})))$

The execution of a case will not be done until all Ords have completed their actions.

Inferential Coupling

 $\begin{array}{l} \Box((TS(retrieve\ case)^{[CR]} \land \bigcirc TS(send\ msg)^{[CR]}) \rightarrow \\ \Diamond(TS(receive\ msg)^{[Ord_i]} \land \bigcirc TS(ack)^{[Ord_i]})) \end{array}$

If the case is successfully retrieved by CR, then eventually the message sent is received and the acknowledgment is sent by Ord.

Forbidden Constraint

 $\Box \Diamond (\lor_i abort^{[Ord_i]})$

Ord will infinitely often abort the execution.



3. Behavior Model/Representation Case Study: Behavior Checker

SPIN is used to perform checking of the corresponding $TS(\mathbb{B})$ and constraints.



The graphical interface of the counter example process with XSPIN is shown on the left, which is based on a Message Sequence Chart window of XSPIN. The vertical lines represent robot behaviors, boxes represent states, and arrows represent messages sent.

UTS: AA

Case Study: Behavior Checker



- State 10: $ack^{[Ord_3]} \rightarrow wait_prepare^{[RC]}$ - State 18: $send \ def \ msg^{[RC]} \rightarrow wait \ msg^{[Ord_4]}$ - State 34: $send \ def \ msg^{[RC]} \rightarrow wait \ msg^{[Ord_3]}$ - State 39: $\Box(receive \ msg^{[Ord_2]} \rightarrow abort^{[Ord_2]})$ - State 45: $\Box(\wedge_i wait_end^{[Ord_i]} \wedge wait_prepare^{[RC]})$

At State 39, the robot player Ord2 aborts the execution whenever it receives messages from RC. Therefore, at State 45, Ord2 and RC wait for each other, resulting in an infinite wait loop while the executions of other robots are interrupted simultaneously, which is the so-called deadlock. A typical deadlock scenario occurs when components mutually wait for each other to progress.



Case Study: Behavior Model Refiner and Exporter

After analyzing the deadlock scenario, we introduce an additional state called "hold on" to break the loop.

- State 40: State $39 \rightarrow hold_on^{[Ord_i] \lor [RC]}$



When such a deadlock happens, the next state will be 'hold on', which means that the other two robot players Ord_3 and Ord_4 will continue their execution as usual. RC continues to retrieve cases and send messages without receiving ack from Ord_2 until the behaviors of Ord_2 become normal. If this does not occur, there must be design flaws in Ord_2 , which should be explored by robot experts. In fact, "State 40" serves as a Behavior Model Refiner.

Finally, a refined system (in addition with State 40) will be provided by the Behavior Model Exporter



Model Refiner

An additional state called "hold_on" to break the loop.

Deadlock hold_on

- Two robot players Ord3 and Ord4 will continue their executions as usual.
- CR continues to retrieve cases and send messages without receiving acknowledgment from Ord1 until the behaviors of Ord1 become normal.
- Else, there must be some design flaws in Ord1, which should be further explored by robot experts. **UTS**



6. High Impact Behavior Analysis

Longbing Cao. Zhao Y., Zhang, C. Mining Impact-Targeted Activity Patterns in Imbalanced Data, *IEEE Trans. on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 20(8): 1053-1066, 2008.



Coupled impact-oriented behaviors





Risk/Impact Definition

- *Risk* is defined as a feasible detrimental outcome of an activity or action (e.g., launch or operation of a spacecraft) subject to hazard(s)
- (1) *magnitude (or severity)* of the adverse consequence(s) that can potentially result from the given activity or action, and
- (2) *likelihood* of occurrence of the given adverse consequence(s).



Impact

- Business impact of behavior
 - Consequence:
 - Fraud
 - Debt
 - Exception ...
 - Magnitude:
 - Positive/negative
 - Multi-level
 - Ratio
 - Probabilistic





- qualitative risk assessment:
 - severity and likelihood are both expressed qualitatively (e.g., high, medium, or low)
- quantitative risk assessment/probabilistic risk assessment:
 - Consequences are expressed numerically
 - Their likelihoods of occurrence are expressed as probabilities or frequencies



Probabilistic Risk Assessment

- Causes/Initiators:
 - What can go wrong with the studied technological entity, or what are the *initiators or initiating events (undesirable starting events) that lead to adverse* consequence(s)?
- Effects/Consequences:
 - What and how severe are the potential detriments, or the adverse consequences that the technological entity may be eventually subjected to as a result of the occurrence of the initiator?
- Functions(cause, effect):
 - How likely to occur are these undesirable consequences, or what are their *probabilities or frequencies*?



Cause/initiator modeling

- Factor analysis
- Rule-based methods
- Cause-effect analysis
- Failure Modes and Effects Analyses
- Sensitivity analysis
- Statistics techniques
- ...


Effects/Consequences Modeling

- Quantifying accident (or mishap) scenarios
 - chains of events that link the initiator to the endpoint detrimental consequences
- Deterministic analysis
- Probabilistic analysis



Function(Cause, Effect)

- Probabilistic or statistical methods
- Inductive logic methods like event tree analysis or event sequence diagrams
- Deductive methods like fault tree analysis



Expected Distribution of Clients with Risks

Most clients are relatively small. Few have extreme consequences



Most clients are compliant.

Relatively few are deliberately non-compliant TC _ A

111

THE ADVANCED ANALYTICS INSTITUTE

Risk Differentiation Framework

High	Continuous Monitoring Q2	Continuous Review Q1	
onsequences			
	Periodic Monitoring Q4	Periodic Review Q3	
Low	Like	lihood	- H



Behavior impact modeling

- Impact measuring
 - Cost
 - Cost-sensitive
 - Profit

...

- Cost-benefit
- Risk score
- Impact evolution
 - Positive \rightarrow Negative
 - Negative \rightarrow Positive





• Risk of a pattern, eg.

 $Risk(P \to T) = \frac{Cost(P \to T)}{TotalCost(P)}$

$$AvgCost(P \to T) = \frac{Cost(P \to T)}{Cnt(P \to T)}$$



Impact-Targeted Activity Mining

- Frequent impact-oriented activity patterns
- Frequent impact-contrasted activity patterns
- Sequential impact-reversed activity patterns

Here: \rightarrow Debt, Fraud, Risk ...



Impact-Oriented Activity Patterns $\{P \rightarrow T\}$ or $\{P \rightarrow \overline{T}\}$ $(P \rightarrow \overline{T}, \text{ or } \overline{P} \rightarrow \overline{T})$

- *frequent positive impact-oriented (T) activity patterns*
 - − P --> T, or

 P --> T
- frequent negative impact-oriented () aqtivity patterns

$$P \longrightarrow \overline{T}$$
$$\overline{P} \longrightarrow \overline{T}$$

P is an activity sequence, $(P = \{a_i, a_{i+1}, ...\}, i=0, 1,...)$.



Impact-Contrasted Activity Patterns $\{P \rightarrow T, P \rightarrow \overline{T}\}$ $\{P \rightarrow \overline{T}, P \rightarrow T\}$

- Pattern: *P* is of high significance in positive impact dataset, and of low significance in negative impact dataset, or vice versa.
- Positive impact-contrasted pattern $P_{T\overline{T}}: \{P \to T, P \to \overline{T}\}$
- Negative impact-contrasted pattern $P_{\overline{T}T}: \{P \to \overline{T}, P \to T\}$



Impact-Reversed Activity Patterns $\{P \rightarrow T\} \{PQ \rightarrow T\} | \{PQ \rightarrow T\} | \{PQ \rightarrow T\} \}$

- Sequential impact-reversed activity pattern pair
 - underlying pattern:
 - *derivative pattern:*



Raw Data

- Data:
 - Time: [1/1/06, 31/3/06]
 - No. of activity transactions: 15,932,832
 - No. of customers: 495,891
 - No. of debts: 30,546



Constructing Activity Baskets and Sequences

- Positive-impact activity sequences: the activities before a debt are put in a basket. E.g., {a8, a9, a10, a11, a12, a13, d2}, {a13, a14, a15, a16, a17, a18,
 - **d3**}



Negative-impact activity sequences
 A virtual activity "NDT" is created for those customers have never had a debt.



Examples of Debt/Non-Debt Activity

Sequences

Table 1. Example of an activity sequence associated with a debt from target dataset a15, a9, a18, a19, a16, a9, DET

Table 2. Example of an activity sequencerelated to non-debt from non-target dataseta14, a16, a1, a20, a14, a21, a22, NDT

	START	
ACTIVITY CODE	DATE	TIME
<i>a</i> ₁₅	15/02/2006	13:34:05
a_9	16/02/2006	16:26:16
<i>a</i> ₁₈	16/02/2006	16:26:17
<i>a</i> ₁₉	20/02/2006	16:12:35
<i>a</i> ₁₆	28/02/2006	11:27:50
a_9	1/03/2006	13:50:03
Debt	1/03/2006	23:59:59

ACTIVITY	START	
CODE	DATE	TIME
<i>a</i> ₁₄	6/02/2006	2:19:37
<i>a</i> ₁₆	6/02/2006	10:21:50
<i>a</i> ₁	7/02/2006	3:51:07
<i>a</i> ₂₀	7/02/2006	4:44:48
<i>a</i> ₁₄	7/02/2006	9:48:59
<i>a</i> ₂₁	8/02/2006	10:03:13
a ₂₂	15/02/2006	13:55:39
No-Debt	15/02/2006	23:59:59
	U	TS:AA



Frequent Debt-Targeted Activity Patterns $\{P \rightarrow T\}$ or $\{P \rightarrow \overline{T}\}$ $(P \rightarrow \overline{T}, \text{ or } \overline{P} \rightarrow \overline{T})$

Patterns	$Supp_D(P)$	$Supp_D(T)$	$Supp_D(P \to T)$	Confidence	Lift	AvgAmt	AvgDur	$risk_{amt}$	$risk_{dur}$
$P \to T$						(cents)	(days)		
$a_1,a_2 \to T$	0.0015	0.0364	0.0011	0.7040	19.4	22074	1.7	0.034	0.007
$a_3,a_1\to T$	0.0018	0.0364	0.0011	0.6222	17.1	22872	1.8	0.037	0.008
$a_1, a_4 \rightarrow T$	0.0200	0.0364	0.0125	0.6229	17.1	23784	1.2	0.424	0.058
$a_1 \rightarrow T$	0.0626	0.0364	0.0147	0.2347	6.5	23281	2.0	0.490	0.111
$a_6 \rightarrow T$	0.2613	0.0364	0.0133	0.0511	1.4	18947	7.2	0.362	0.370
$a_4 \rightarrow T$	0.1490	0.0364	0.0162	0.1089	3.0	21749	3.2	0.505	0.203
$a_5 \rightarrow T$	0.1854	0.0364	0.0139	0.0755	2.1	18290	6.2	0.363	0.334
$a_7 \rightarrow T$	0.1605	0.0364	0.0113	0.0706	1.9	19090	6.8	0.310	0.300



High impact behaviour analysis

(Impact-targeted behavior pattern mining)

TABLE 8 Common Frequent Sequential Patterns in Separate Data Sets

) <i>a</i> (p				(D) 1 1 1			- itv	Data	
Patterns (P) S	$upp_{D_T}(P)$) $Supp_{D_{T}}(P)$	$Cd_{T,\bar{T}}(P) C$	$Cdr_{T,\bar{T}}(P) Cd_{\bar{T},\bar{T}}$	$_{T}(P) Cdr_{\bar{T},T}($	(cents)	AvgDur risk (days)	$c_{amt} risk_{du}$	r 10y	Data	
		and the state of the		ar anti-					-	Viene a	
a_5	0.382	0.178	0.204	2.15 -0.2	0.47	18290	6.2 0.3	0.334	y_1	Ime	
a_7	0.312	0.154	0.157	2.02 -0.	0.50	19090	6.8 0.3	0.300	24:1:	3	
a_6	0.367	0.257	0.110	1.43 -0.	0.70	18947	7.2 0.3	62 0.370			
a_{14}	0.903	0.684	0.219	1.32 -0.3	0.76	19251	6.6 0.9	005 0.840	33:5	5	
a_{15}	0.746	0.567	0.179				TA	BLE 9			
a_{16}	0.604	0.597	0.007		Impact-Re	eversed Sec	quential Act	ivity Patte	rns in S	Separate Data Set	ts
a_{14}, a_{15}	0.605	0.374	0.231								
a_{15}, a_{15}	0.539	0.373	0.167	Underlying	Impact 1	Derivative	Impact 2	Cir	Cps	Local support of	Local support of
a_{16}, a_{14}	0.479	0.402	0.076	sequence (P)		activity Q				$P \rightarrow \text{Impact } 1$	$PQ \rightarrow \text{Impact } 2$
a_{14}, a_{16}	0.441	0.393	0.049								
a_{16}, a_{16}	0.367	0.410	-0.043	a_{14}	\overline{T}	a_4	T	2.5	0.013	0.684	0.428
a_{14}, a_{14}, a_{15}	0.477	0.257	0.220	a_{16}	\overline{T}	a_4	T	2.2	0.005	0.597	0.147
a_{14}, a_{15}, a_{14}	0.435	0.255	0.179	a_{14}	\overline{T}	a_5	T	2.0	0.007	0.684	0.292
a_{16}, a_{14}, a_{14}	0.361	0.267	0.093	a_{16}	\overline{T}	a_7	T	1.8	0.004	0.597	0.156
a_{16}, a_{14}, a_{16}	0.265	0.255	0.010	a_{14}	\overline{T}	a_7	T	1.7	0.005	0.684	0.243
			····	a_{15}	\bar{T}	a_5	T	1.7	0.007	0.567	0.262
			*****	a_{14}, a_{14}	\bar{T}	a_4	T	2.3	0.016	0.474	0.367
				a_{16}, a_{14}	\bar{T}	a_5	T	2.0	0.006	0.402	0.133
				a_{14}, a_{16}	\overline{T}	a_5	T	2.0	0.005	0.393	0.118
				a_{16}, a_{15}	\overline{T}	a_5	T	1.8	0.006	0.339	0.128
				a_{15}, a_{14}	\overline{T}	a_5	T	1.7	0.007	0.381	0.179
				a_{16}, a_{14}	\overline{T}	a_7	T	1.6	0.004	0.402	0.108
				a_{14}, a_{16}, a_{14}	\bar{T}	a_{15}	T	1.2	0.005	0.248	0.188
				a_{16}, a_{14}, a_{14}	\overline{T}	a_{15}	T	1.2	0.005	0.267	0.220



7. Impact-oriented Behavior Combined Pattern Analysis



References

- Longbing Cao. <u>Combined Mining: Analyzing Object and Pattern Relations</u> for Discovering and Constructing Complex but Actionable Patterns, WIREs Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery.
- Longbing Cao, Huaifeng Zhang, Yanchang Zhao, Dan Luo, Chengqi Zhang. <u>Combined Mining: Discovering Informative Knowledge in Complex Data</u>, IEEE Trans. SMC Part B, 41(3): 699 - 712, 2011.
- Yanchang Zhao, Huaifeng Zhang, Longbing Cao, Chengqi Zhang. <u>Combined</u> <u>Pattern Mining: from Learned Rules to Actionable Knowledge</u>, LNCS 5360/2008, 393-403, 2008.
- Huaifeng Zhang, Yanchang Zhao, Longbing Cao and Chengqi Zhang.
 <u>Combined Association Rule Mining</u>, PAKDD2008.
- Yanchang Zhao, Huaifeng Zhang, Fernando Figueiredo, Longbing Cao Chengqi Zhang, <u>Mining for Combined Association Rules on Multiple</u> <u>Datasets</u>, Proc. of 2007 ACM SIGKDD Workshop on Domain Driven Data Mining (DDDM 07), 2007, pp. 18-23.



Pattern discovery process

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,m,l}: \mathcal{R}_l(\mathcal{F}_k) \to \mathcal{I}_{m,l}$$
 (1)

Data set $\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{D} = \{\mathcal{D}_k; k = 1, \dots, K\}$ Feature set $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_k; k = 1, \dots, K\}$ Method set $\mathcal{R}: \mathcal{R} = \{\mathcal{R}_l; l = 1, \dots, L\}$ Interestingness set $\mathcal{I}: \mathcal{I} = \{\mathcal{I}_{m,l}; m = 1, \dots, M; l = 1, \dots, L\}$ Impact set $\mathcal{T}: \mathcal{T} = \{\mathcal{T}_j; j = 1, \dots, J\}$ Pattern set $\mathcal{P}: \mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{P}_{n,m,l}; n = 1, \dots, N; m = 1, \dots, M; l = 1, \dots, L\}$



Combined mining

Definition 1 (Combined Mining): Combined mining is a two-to-multistep data mining procedure, consisting of the following:

- 1) Mining atomic patterns $\mathcal{P}_{n,m,l}$ as described in (1).
- Merging atomic pattern sets into combined pattern set *P*[']_k = *G*_k(*P*_{n,m,l}) for each data set *D*_k by pattern merging method *G*_k; *G*_k ∈ *G*, where *G* includes a set of pattern- merging methods suitable for a particular business prob-lem.
- If multiple data sets are involved, combined patterns identified in specific data sets are then further merged into the combined pattern set \$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_k)\$.

From a high-level perspective, combined mining represents a generic framework for mining complex patterns in complex data as follows:

$$\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_{n,m,l}) \tag{2}$$

in which atomic patterns $\mathcal{P}_{n,m,l}$ from either individual sources \mathcal{D}_k , individual methods \mathcal{R}_l , or particular feature sets \mathcal{F}_k are combined into groups with the members closely related to each other in terms of pattern similarity or difference.

The meaning of "combined":

- The combination of multiple data sources (D): The combined pattern set P consists of multiple atomic patterns identified in several data sources, respectively, namely, *P* = {*P*'_k | *P*'_k : *I*'_k(*X*_j); *X*_j ∈ *D*_k}; for example, demographic data and transactional data are two data sets involved in mining for demographic–transactional patterns.
- The combination of multiple features (F): The combined pattern set P involves multiple features, namely,
 P = {F_k | F_k ⊂ F, F_k ∈ D_k, F_{j+k} ∈ D_{j+k}; j, k ≠ 0},
 e.g., features of customer demographics and behavior.
- 3) The combination of multiple methods (*R*): The patterns in the combined set reflect the results mined by multiple data mining methods, namely, *P* = {*P*'_k | *R*'_k → *P*'_k}, for instance, association mining and classification.
- 4) The combination of pattern impacts.



Basic paradigms

• Nonimpact-oriented combined patterns

 $\mathcal{P}_n : R_l(X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_i) \to I_m \tag{3}$ $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{G}(P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n) \to \mathcal{I} \tag{4}$

• Impact-oriented combined patterns

 $P_n : \{R_l(X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_i) \to I_m\} \to T_1$ (5) $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{G}(P_1, \dots, P_n)$ (6)



Number of constituent atoms

• Pair patterns

 $\mathcal{P} ::= \mathcal{G}(P_1, P_2)$

• Cluster patterns

 $\mathcal{P} ::= \mathcal{G}(P_1, \dots, P_n)(n > 2).$



Structural relations

- Peer-to-peer patterns $\mathcal{P} ::= P_1 \cup P_2$
- Master-slave patterns

 $\{\mathcal{P} ::= P_1 \cup P_2, P_2 = f(P_1)\}$

• Hierarchy patterns $\{\mathcal{P} ::= P_i \cup P'_i \cup P_j \cup P'_j, P_j = \mathcal{G}(P_i), \dots, P'_j = \mathcal{G}'(P_i)'\}$



Time frame

- Independent patterns ${P_1: P_2}$
- Sequential patterns ${P_1; P_2}$
- Hybrid patterns

 $\{P_1 \otimes P_2 \cdots \otimes P_n; \otimes \in \{:, \|, ;\}\}$



Basic Process: an framework

Multi-source combined pattern mining



Fig. 1. Combined mining for actionable patterns.

$$CM ::= \underbrace{\mathcal{D}_k[\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathcal{D}_k]}_{K} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}_k, \mathcal{R}_k, \Omega_m} \{\mathcal{P}_k\}}_{K} \longrightarrow \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}^N \mathcal{P}_k, \Omega_d, \Omega_m} \mathcal{P}$$



PROCESS: Multisource Combined Mining

INPUT: target data sets \mathcal{D}_k (k = 1, ..., K), business problem Ψ

OUTPUT: combined patterns \mathcal{P}

Step 1: Identify a suitable data set or data part, for example, D_1 for initial mining exploration.

Step 2: Identify the next suitable data set for pattern mining, or partition whole source data into K data sets supervised by the findings in Step 1.

Step 3: *Data set-kmining*: Extract atomic patterns \mathcal{P}_k on data set/subset D_k .

FOR k = 1 to K

Develop modeling method \mathcal{R}_k with interestingness \mathcal{I}_k .

Employ method \mathcal{R}_k on the environment e and data \mathcal{D}_k engaging metaknowledge Ω_m .

Extract the atomic pattern set \mathcal{P}_k .

ENDFOR

Step 4: Pattern merger: Merge atomic patterns into combined pattern set \mathcal{P} .

FOR k = 1 to K

Design the pattern merger functions \mathcal{G}_k to merge all relevant atomic patterns into \mathcal{P}_k by involving domain and metaknowledge Ω_d and Ω_m and interestingness \mathcal{I} .

Employ the method $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_k)$ on the pattern set \mathcal{P}_k .

Generate combined patterns into set $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}_k(\mathcal{P}_k)$.

ENDFOR

Step 5: Enhance pattern actionability to generate deliverables \mathcal{P} . Step 6: Output the deliverables \mathcal{P} .



Multi-feature combined pattern mining

Definition 2 (MFCPs): Assuming that \mathcal{F}_k denotes the set of features in data set $\mathcal{D}_k \forall i \neq j$, $\mathcal{F}_{k,i} \cap \mathcal{F}_{k,j} = \emptyset$, based on the variables defined in Section IV-A, an MFCP P is in the form of

$$\mathcal{P}_k : \mathcal{R}_l(\mathcal{F}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{F}_k)$$
$$\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{G}_F(\mathcal{P}_k) \tag{8}$$

where $\exists i, j, i \neq j, \mathcal{F}_i \neq \emptyset, \mathcal{F}_j \neq \emptyset$, and \mathcal{G}_F is the merging method for the feature combination.

 $F \wedge c_1 \wedge a_1 - a_2 \rightarrow N$



Multi-method combined pattern mining

Definition 10 (Multimethod Combined Mining): Assuming that there are l data mining methods $\mathcal{R}_l (l = 1, ..., L)$, their respective interestingness metrics are in the set $\mathcal{I}_m (m = 1, ..., M)$. The features available for mining the data set are denoted by \mathcal{F} , and multimethod combined mining is in the form of

$$\mathcal{P}_l : \mathcal{R}_l(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{I}_{m,l} \mathcal{P} := \mathcal{G}_M(\mathcal{P}_l)$$
(20)

where \mathcal{G}_M is the merging method integrating the patterns identified by multiple methods.





• Multi-method combined pattern mining

– Parallel MMCM

– Serial MMCM

$$\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{e,\mathcal{R}_l,\mathcal{F}_l,\mathcal{I}_l,\Omega_m} \mathcal{P}_1, or$$
 (23)

$$\{\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{I}_1\} \xrightarrow{e, \mathcal{D}, \Omega_m} \mathcal{P}_1.$$
 (24)

$$\{\mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{I}_2\} \xrightarrow{e, \mathcal{D}, \Omega_m, \mathcal{P}_1} \mathcal{P}_2.$$

$$\{\mathcal{R}_L, \mathcal{F}_L, \mathcal{I}_L\} \to \mathcal{P}.$$

$$(25)$$



Multi-Feature Combined Patterns

DEFINITION MULTI-FEATURE COMBINED PATTERNS. Assume $\mathcal{F}_{k,i}$ to be the set of all features in dataset \mathcal{D}_k , and $\forall i \neq j$, $\mathcal{F}_{k,i} \cap \mathcal{F}_{k,j} = \emptyset$, based on the variables defined in Section 2.1, a Multi-Feature Combined Pattern (MFCP) P is in the form of

 $\mathcal{R}: \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{F}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_k) \to T$

 $T \neq \emptyset$ is a target item or class and $\exists i, j, i \neq j, \mathcal{F}_i \neq \emptyset, \mathcal{F}_j \neq \emptyset$.

For example, A_1 can be a demographic itemset, A_2 can be a transactional itemset on marketing campaign, A_3 can be an itemset from a third-party dataset, and *T* can be the loyalty level of a customer.



Traditional Supports, Confidences & Lifts

- $Supp(A->B) = Prob(A^B)$
- Conf(A->B) = Prob(A^B) / Prob(A)
- Lift = Conf(A->B) / Prob(B)

Table 6: Traditional Interestingness Measures for Rule $U+V \rightarrow C$

Supports	Supp(U), Supp(V), Supp(UV), Supp(C)
	Supp(UC), Supp(VC), Supp(UVC)
Confidences	$Conf(U \to C), \ Conf(V \to C), \ Conf(U + V \to C)$
Lifts	$Lift(U \rightarrow C), \ Lift(V \rightarrow C), \ Lift(U + V \rightarrow C)$



Contribution

DEFINITION CONTRIBUTION. For a multi-feature combined pattern $P : X \to T$, where $X = X_p \wedge X_e$, the contribution of X_e to the occurrence of outcome T in rule P is

$$Cont_{e}(P) = \frac{Lift(X_{p} \land X_{e} \to T)}{Lift(X_{p} \to T)}$$
$$= \frac{Conf(X_{p} \land X_{e} \to T)}{Conf(X_{p} \to T)}$$

 $Cont_{e}(P)$ is the lift of X_{e} with X_{p} as a precondition, which shows how much X_{e} contributes to the rule. Contribution can be taken as the increase of lift by appending additional items X_{e} to a rule. Its value falls in $[0, +\infty)$. A contribution greater than one means that the additional items in the rule contribute to the occurrence of the outcome, and a contribution less than one suggests that it incurs a reverse effect.



Interestingness of Combined Pattern

$$I_{\rm rule}(X_{\rm p} \wedge X_{\rm e} \to T) = \frac{Cont_{\rm e}(X_{\rm p} \wedge X_{\rm e} \to T)}{Lift(X_{\rm e} \to T)}$$

 $I_{\rm rule}$ indicates whether the *contribution* of $X_{\rm p}$ (or $X_{\rm e}$) to the occurrence of T increases with $X_{\rm e}$ (or $X_{\rm p}$) as a precondition. Therefore, " $I_{\rm rule} < 1$ " suggests that $X_{\rm p} \wedge X_{\rm e} \rightarrow T$ is less interesting than $X_{\rm p} \rightarrow T$ and $X_{\rm e} \rightarrow T$. The value of $I_{\rm rule}$ falls in $[0, +\infty)$. When $I_{\rm rule} > 1$, the higher $I_{\rm rule}$ is, the more interesting the rule is.



Combined Pattern Pairs

DEFINITION COMBINED PATTERN PAIRS. For impact-oriented combined patterns, a Combined Pattern Pair (CPP) is in the form of

$$\mathcal{P}: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_1 \to T_1 \\ X_2 \to T_2 \end{array} \right. ,$$

where 1) $X_1 \cap X_2 = X_p$ and X_p is called the prefix of pair \mathcal{P} ; $X_{1,e} = X_1 \setminus X_p$ and $X_{2,e} = X_2 \setminus X_p$; 2) X_1 and X_2 are different itemsets; and 3) T_1 and T_2 are contrary to each other, or T_1 and T_2 are same but there is a big difference in the interestingness (say confidences con f) of the two patterns.

- A combined rule pair is composed of two contrasting rules.
- Eg,. for customers with the same characteristics U, different policies/campaigns, V₁ and V₂, can result in different outcomes, T₁ and T₂.



Interestingness of Pattern Pairs

$$I_{\text{pair}}(\mathcal{P}) = \begin{cases} |Conf(P_1) - Conf(P_2)|, \text{ if } T_1 = T_2; \\ \sqrt{Conf(P_1) Conf(P_2)}, & \text{if } T_1 \text{ and } T_2 \text{ are contrary}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}; \end{cases}$$



Combined Pattern Clusters

DEFINITION COMBINED PATTERN CLUSTERS. Assume there are k local patterns $X_i \rightarrow T_i, (i = 1, ..., k), k \ge 3$ and $X_1 \cap X_2 \cap \cdots \cap X_k = X_p$, a combined pattern cluster (CPC) is in the form of

$$\mathcal{C}: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_1 \to T_1 \\ \dots \\ X_k \to T_k \end{array} \right.,$$

where X_{p} is the prefix of cluster C.

- Based on a combined rule pair, related combined rules can be organized into a cluster to supplement more information to the rule pair.
- The rules in cluster C have the same U but different V, which makes them associated with various results T.


Interestingness of Pattern Clusters

$$I_{\text{cluster}}(\mathcal{C}) = \max_{P_i, P_j \in \mathcal{C}, i \neq j} I_{\text{pair}}(P_i, P_j)$$



Interestingness of Rule Pair/Cluster

$$I_{\text{pair}}(\mathcal{P}) = Lift_V(R_1) \ Lift_V(R_2) \ dist(T_1, T_2)$$

$$I_{\text{cluster}}(\mathcal{C}) = \max_{i \neq j, R_i, R_j \in \mathcal{C}, T_i \neq T_j} I_{\text{pair}}(R_i, R_j)$$

- dist(): the dissimilarity between the descendants of R₁ and R₂
- The interestingness of combined rule pair/cluster is decided by both the interestingness of rules and the most contrasting rules within the pair/cluster.
- A cluster made of contrasting confident rules is interesting, because it explains why different results occur and what can be done to produce an expected result or avoid an undesirable consequence.



Rule Pair vs Rule Cluster

$$\mathcal{P}: \begin{cases} U \wedge V_1 \to stay \\ U \wedge V_2 \to churn \end{cases}, \qquad \mathcal{C}: \begin{cases} U \wedge V_1 \to stay \\ U \wedge V_2 \to churn \\ U \wedge V_3 \to stay \end{cases}$$

- From P, we can see that V₁ is a preferable policy for customers with characteristics U.
- If, for some reason, policy V₁ is inapplicable to the specific customer group, P is no longer actionable.
- Rule cluster C suggests that another policy V_3 can be employed to retain those customers.



Extended Combined Pattern Pairs

DEFINITION EXTENDED COMBINED PATTERN PAIRS. An Extended Combined Pattern Pair (ECPP) is a special combined pattern pair as follows

$$\mathcal{E}: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_{\mathbf{p}} \to T_1 \\ X_{\mathbf{p}} \wedge X_{\mathbf{e}} \to T_2 \end{array} \right.,$$

where $X_{\rm p} \neq \emptyset$, $X_{\rm e} \neq \emptyset$ and $X_{\rm p} \cap X_{\rm e} = \emptyset$.



Conditional P-S ratio

DEFINITION A metric for measuring the difference led by the occurrence of X_e in the above scenario is Conditional Piatetsky-Shapiro's (*P-S*) ratio Cps, which is defined as follows.

$$Cps(X_{\rm e} \to T|X_{\rm p}) = Prob(X_{\rm e} \to T|X_{\rm p}) - Prob(X_{\rm e}|X_{\rm p}) \times Prob(T|X_{\rm p})$$

$$=\frac{Prob(X_{\rm p} \wedge X_{\rm e} \to T)}{Prob(X_{\rm p})} - \frac{Prob(X_{\rm p} \wedge X_{\rm e})}{Prob(X_{\rm p})} \times \frac{Prob(X_{\rm p} \to T)}{Prob(X_{\rm p})}$$



Extended Combined Pattern Clusters

DEFINITION EXTENDED COMBINED PATTERN SEQUENCES. An Extended Combined Pattern Sequence (ECPC), or called Incremental Combined Pattern Sequence (ICPS), is a special combined pattern cluster with additional items appending to the adjacent local patterns incrementally.

$$\mathcal{S}: \begin{cases} X_{\mathbf{p}} \to T_{1} \\ X_{\mathbf{p}} \wedge X_{\mathbf{e},1} \to T_{2} \\ X_{\mathbf{p}} \wedge X_{\mathbf{e},1} \wedge X_{\mathbf{e},2} \to T_{3} \\ \cdots \\ X_{\mathbf{p}} \wedge X_{\mathbf{e},1} \wedge X_{\mathbf{e},2} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{k-1}} \to T_{k} \end{cases}$$

where $\forall i, 1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, $X_{i+1} \cap X_i = X_i$ and $X_{i+1} \setminus X_i = X_{e,i} \neq \emptyset$, i.e., X_{i+1} is an increment of X_i . The above cluster of rules actually makes a sequence of rules, which can show the impact of the increment of patterns on the outcomes.



Impact

DEFINITION IMPACT. The impact of X_e on the outcome in the rule is $impact_e(P) = \begin{cases} cont_e(P) - 1 : if cont_e(P) \ge 1, \\ \frac{1}{cont_e(P)} - 1 : otherwise. \end{cases}$



Intervention Strategy 1

- Type A: Demographics differentiated combined pattern
 - Customers with the same actions but different demographics
 - \rightarrow different classes/business impact

Type A:
$$\begin{cases} A_1 + D_1 & \to & \text{quick payer} \\ A_1 + D_2 & \to & \text{moderate payer} \\ A_1 + D_3 & \to & \text{slow payer} \end{cases}$$



Intervention Strategy 2

- Type B: Action differentiated combined pattern
 - Customers with the same demographics but taking different actions
 - \rightarrow different classes/business impact

Type B:
$$\begin{cases} A_1 + D_1 & \to & \text{quick payer} \\ A_2 + D_1 & \to & \text{moderate payer} \\ A_3 + D_1 & \to & \text{slow payer} \end{cases}$$



Business Impact

- Able to move customers from one class to another class
- Useful for designing business policy

	Behavior 1	Behavior 2	
Demographic 1	Slow	Fast	
Demographic 2	Fast	Slow	

154

HE ADVANCED ANALYTI

Case Study I

• Mining Combined Patterns and Patterns Clusters for Debt Recovery



Business Problem

- To profile customers according to their capacity to pay off their debts in shortened timeframes.
- To target those customers with recovery and amount options suitable to their own circumstances, and increase the frequency and level of repayment.



Data (1)

- Customer demographic data
 - Customer ID, gender, age, marital status, number of children, declared wages, location, benefit type,
- Debt data
 - Debt amount, debt start/end date, ...
- Repayment data (transactional)
 - Repayment method, amount, time, date, ...
- Class ID: Quick/Moderate/Slow Payer



Data (2)

- The case study is on governmental social security data with debts raised in the calendar year 2006 and the corresponding customers and arrangement/repayment activities.
- The cleaned sample data contains 355,800 customers with their demographic attributes, arrangements and repayments.
- There are 7,711 traditional associations mined.



Results (1)

- There were 7,711 association rules before removing redundancy of combined rules.
- After removing redundancy of combined rules, 2,601 rules were left, which built up 734 combined rule clusters.
- After removing redundancy of combined rule clusters, 98 rule clusters with 235 rules remained, which was within the capability of human beings to read.



Results (2)

Traditional Association Rules

	V	T	Conf(%)	Count	Lift
Arrangement	Repayment	Class			
irregular	cash or post office	А	82.4	4088	1.8
withholding	cash or post office	А	87.6	13354	1.9
withholding & irregular	cash or post office	А	72.4	894	1.6
withholding & irregular	cash or post office & withholding	В	60.4	1422	1.7

An Example of Combined Patterns

Rules	$X_{\rm p}$	X_{e}		T	Cnt	Conf	$I_{\rm r}$	Lift	$Cont_{\rm P}$	$Cont_{e}$	Lift of	<i>Lift</i> of
	Demographics	Arrangements	Repayments	Class		(%)					$X_{\mathbf{p}} \to T$	$X_{\rm e} \to T$
P_1	age:65+	withholding	withholding	C	50	63.3	2.91	3.40	2.47	4.01	0.85	1.38
		& irregular										
P_2	income:0	withholding	cash or post	В	20	69.0	1.47	1.95	1.34	2.15	0.91	1.46
	& remote:Y		& withholding	[
	& marrital:sep											
	& gender:F											
P_3	income:0	withholding	cash or post	Α	1123	62.3	1.38	1.35	1.72	1.09	1.24	0.79
	& age:65+		& withholding									
P_4	income:0	withholding	cash or post	Α	469	93.8	1.36	2.04	1.07	2.59	0.79	1.90
	& gender:F											
	& benefit:P											



Results (3)

An Example of Combined Pattern Clusters

				<u> </u>			-							
Clusters	Rules	$X_{\mathbf{p}}$		e	T	Cnt	Conf	$I_{\mathbf{r}}$	$I_{\rm c}$	Lift	$Cont_{\mathbf{p}}$	$Cont_{e}$	Lift of	Lift of
		demographics	arrangements	repayments		1	(%)				_		$X_{\mathbf{p}} \to T$	$X_{\mathbf{e}} \to T$
\mathcal{P}_1	P_5	marital:sin	irregular	cash or post	Α	400	83.0	1.12	0.67	1.80	1.01	2.00	0.90	1.79
	P_6	&gender:F	withhold	cash or post	Α	520	78.4	1.00		1.70	0.89	1.89	0.90	1.90
	P_7	&benefit:N	withhold &	cash or post	В	119	80.4	1.21		2.28	1.33	2.06	1.10	1.71
			irregular	& withhold										
	P_8		withhold	cash or post	В	643	61.2	1.07		1.73	1.19	1.57	1.10	1.46
				& withhold										
	P_9		withhold &	withhold &	В	237	60.6	0.97		1.72	1.07	1.55	1.10	1.60
			vol. deduct	direct debit										
	P_{10}		cash	agent	С	33	60.0	1.12		3.23	1.18	3.07	1.05	2.74
\mathcal{P}_2	P_{11}	age:65+	withhold	cash or post	Α	1980	93.3	0.86	0.59	2.02	1.06	1.63	1.24	1.90
	P_{12}		irregular	cash or post	Α	4F2	88.7	0.87		1.92	1.08	1.55	1.24	1.79
	P_{13}		withhold &	cash or post	Α	132	85.7	0.96		1.86	1.18	1.50	1.24	1.57
			irregular											
	P_{14}		withhold &	withhold	C	50	63.3	2.91		3.40	2.47	4.01	0.85	1.38
			irregular											



Business Rule

BUSINESS RULES: Customer Demographic-Arrangement-Repayment combination business rules

For All customer $i \ (i \in I \text{ is the number of valid customers})$

Condition:

satisfies S/he is a debtor aged 65 or plus;

relates

S/he is under arrangement of 'withholding' and 'irregularly',

and

His/her favorite Repayment method is 'withholding';

Operation:

Alert = "S/he has 'High' risk of paying off debt in a very long timeframe."

Action = "Try other arrangements and repayments in R_2 , such as trying to persuade

her/him to repay under 'irregular' arrangement with 'cash or post'."

End-All



Case Study II

• Mining Extended Combined Pattern Pairs for Debt Prevention



Business Problem

- A case study of extend combined pattern pairs on Centrelink debt-related activity data is given as follows. More details can be found in [Cao et al. 2008], where they are called impact-reversed sequential activity patterns.
- The data involves four data sources, which are activity files recording activity details, debt files logging debt details, customer files enclosing customer circumstances, and earnings files storing earnings details.
- To analyse the relationship between activity and debt, the data from activity files and debt files are extracted.



Data (1)

- Customer demographic data
 - Customer ID, gender, age, marital status, number of children, declared wages, location, benefit type,
- Debt data
 - Debt amount, debt start/end date, ...
- Repayment data (transactional)
 - Repayment method, amount, time, date, ...
- Class ID: Quick/Moderate/Slow Payer



Date (2)

- The activity data for us to test the proposed approaches is Centrelink activity data from Jan. 1st to Mar. 31st 2006.
- We extract activity data including 15,932,832 activity records recording government-customer contacts with 495,891 customers, which lead to 30,546 debts in the first three months of 2006.
- After data preprocessing and transformation, there are 454,934 sequences: 16,540 (3.6%) activity sequences associated with debts and 438,394 (96.4%) sequences with nil debt.



Results (1)

Examples of Extended Combined Pattern Pairs

Xp	T_1	$X_{\rm e}$	T_2	$Cont_{e}$	Cps	Local support of	Local support of
						$X_{\mathrm{P}} \rightarrow T_{1}$	$X_{\mathrm{p}} \wedge X_{\mathrm{e}} \rightarrow T_{2}$
a_{14}	\bar{T}	a_4	Т	2.5	0.013	0.684	0.428
a_{16}	\bar{T}	a_4	T	2.2	0.005	0.597	0.147
a_{14}	\bar{T}	a_5	T	2.0	0.007	0.684	0.292
a_{16}	\bar{T}	a_7	T	1.8	0.004	0.597	0.156
a_{14}	\bar{T}	a_7	T	1.7	0.005	0.684	0.243
a_{15}	\bar{T}	a_5	T	1.7	0.007	0.567	0.262
a_{14}, a_{14}	\bar{T}	a_4	T	2.3	0.016	0.474	0.367
a_{14}, a_{16}	\bar{T}	a_5	T	2.0	0.005	0.393	0.118
a_{15}, a_{14}	\bar{T}	a_5	T	1.7	0.007	0.381	0.179
a_{14}, a_{16}, a_{14}	\bar{T}	a_{15}	T	1.2	0.005	0.248	0.188



An Example of Extended Combined Pattern Pair

$$\begin{cases} a_{14} \to \bar{T} \\ a_{14}, a_4 \to T \end{cases}$$

- The local supports of $a_{14} \rightarrow T$ and $a_{14} \rightarrow \overline{T}$ are respectively 0.903 and 0.684, so the ratio of the two values is 1.3.
- The local supports of $a_{14}, a_4 \rightarrow T$ and $a_{14}, a_4 \rightarrow \overline{T}$ are 0.428 and 0.119 respectively, so the ratio of the two values is 3.6.
- When a14 occurs first, the appearance of a4 makes it more likely to become debtable.
- This kind of pattern pairs help to know what effect an additional activity will have on the impact of the patterns.



Case Study III

- Exploring the impact of behavior dynamics
- Identifying the most important behavior during the evolution



Combined pattern presentation





$$\begin{array}{l} TMC \rightarrow U_1 \\ TMC, GPS \rightarrow U_2 \\ TMC, GPS, DAG \rightarrow U_2 \\ TMC, GPS, DAG, PPJ \rightarrow U_3 \\ TMC, GPS, DAG, PPJ, OMF \rightarrow U_0 \\ TMC, GPS, DAG, PPJ, OMF, IKR \rightarrow U_{-1} \\ TMC, GPS, DAG, PPJ, OMF, IKR, TMC \rightarrow U_1 \\ TMC, GPS, DAG, PPJ, OMF, IKR, TMC, PPJ \rightarrow U_3 \end{array}$$

(6)



An Example of Extended Combined Pattern Cluster

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} PLN \rightarrow T \\ PLN, DOC \rightarrow T \\ PLN, DOC, DOC \rightarrow T \\ PLN, DOC, DOC, DOC \rightarrow T \\ PLN, DOC, DOC, DOC, REA \rightarrow T \\ PLN, DOC, DOC, DOC, REA, IES \rightarrow T \end{array} \right.$



An Example of Extended Combined Pattern Cluster





8. High Utility Behavior Analysis



4. High Impact/Utility Behavior Analysis High Utility Sequential Pattern Mining

The 18th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD 2012)

USpan: An Efficient Algorithm for High Utility Sequential Pattern Mining

Junfu Yin, Zhigang Zheng and Longbing Cao

Advanced Analytics Institute University of Technology, Sydney, Australia



4. High Impact/Utility Behavior Analysis Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Related Work
- 3. Problem Statement
- 4. USpan Algorithm
- 5. Experiments
- 6. Conclusions



4. High Impact/Utility Behavior Analysis Introduction

• Sequential pattern mining

- Very essential for handling order-based critical business problems.
- Interesting and significant sequential patterns are generally selected by frequency.
- Insufficient of frequency/support framework
 - They do not show the business value and impact.
 - Some truly interesting sequences may be filtered because of their low frequencies.

Example: Retail business



4. High Impact/Utility Behavior Analysis Introduction

Table 1: Quality Table

Items	а	b	С	d	е	f
Quality	2	5	4	3	1	1

Table 2: Quantitative Sequence Database

SID	Quantitative Sequence
1	< (e, 5) [(c, 2)(f, 1)] (b, 2) >
2	< $[(a, 2)(e, 6)]$ $[(a, 1)(b, 1)(c, 2)]$ $[(a, 2)(d, 3)(e, 3)]$ >
3	< $(c, 1)$ [$(a, 6)(d, 3)(e, 2)$] >
4	< [(b, 2)(e, 2)] [(a, 7)(d, 3)] [(a, 4)(b, 1)(e, 2)] >
5	< $[(b, 2)(e, 3)]$ $[(a, 6)(e, 3)]$ $[(a, 2)(b, 1)]$ >

In sequence s_2 , there are three transactions:

[(a, 2)(e, 6)],[(a, 1)(b, 1)(c, 2)] and [(a, 2)(d, 3)(e, 3)].

Transaction [(a, 2)(e, 6)] means the customer buys two items, namely *a* and *e*. (a, 2) means the quanity of item *a* is 2.

The square brackets omitted when there is only one item in the transaction. For example: (e, 5), (b, 2) in s_1 and (c, 1) in s_3 .



4. High Impact/Utility Behavior Analysis

Introduction

Table 1: Quality Table

Items	а	b	С	d	е	f
Quality	2	5	4	3	1	1

Table 2: Quantitative Sequence Database

SID	Quantitative Sequence
1	< $(e, 5)$ $[(c, 2)(f, 1)]$ $(b, 2)$ >
2	< $[(a, 2)(e, 6)]$ $[(a, 1)(b, 1)(c, 2)]$ $[(a, 2)(d, 3)(e, 3)]$ >
3	< (c, 1) [(a, 6)(d, 3)(e, 2)] >
4	< $[(b, 2)(e, 2)]$ $[(a, 7)(d, 3)]$ $[(a, 4)(b, 1)(e, 2)]$ >
5	< $[(b, 2)(e, 3)]$ $[(a, 6)(e, 3)]$ $[(a, 2)(b, 1)]$ >

The utility of $\langle e \rangle$ in (e, 6) is $6 \times 1 = 6$

The utility of $\langle ea \rangle$ in s_2 is { ((6×1) + (1×2)), ((6×1) + (1×2)) } = {8, 10}

The utility of *<ea>* is the database is {{}, {8, 10}, {}, {16, 10}, {15, 7}}.

Add the highest utility in each sequence to represent the utility of $\langle ea \rangle$: 10 + 16 + 15 = 41

If the minimum utility threshold $\xi = 40$ then $\langle ea \rangle$ is a high utility pattern.



4. High Impact/Utility Behavior Analysis Introduction

Contributions:

- 1. We define the problem of mining high utility sequential patterns systematically.
- 2. USpan as a novel algorithm for mining high utility sequential patterns.
- 3. Two pruning strategies, namely width and depth pruning, are proposed to reduce the search space substantially.



4. High Impact/Utility Behavior Analysis

Related Work

- High utility pattern mining
 - Two-Phase Algorithm (Liu et al., UBDM' 2005)
 - IHUP Algorithm (Ahmed et al., IEEE Trans. TKDE' 2009)
 - UP-Growth (Tseng et al., SIGKDD' 2010)
- High utility sequential pattern mining
 - UMSP (Shie et al., DASFAA' 2011) Designed for mining high utility mobile sequential patterns.
 - UWAS-tree / IUWAS-tree (Ahmed et al., SNPD' 2010) Designed for mining the high utility weblog data. IUWAS-tree is for incremental environment.
 - UI / US (Ahmed et al., ETRI Journal' 2010) Uses two measurements of utilities of sequences. No generic framework is proposed.


Problem Statement: Containing

Table 1: Quality Table

Items	а	b	С	d	е	f
Quality	2	5	4	3	1	1

Table 2: Quantitative Sequence Database

SID	Quantitative Sequence
1	< $(e, 5)$ $[(c, 2)(f, 1)]$ $(b, 2)$ >
2	$< \ [(a, 2)(e, 6)] \ \ [(a, 1)(b, 1)(c, 2)] \ \ [(a, 2)(d, 3)(e, 3)] > \\$
3	< $(c, 1)$ [$(a, 6)(d, 3)(e, 2)$] >
4	< [(b, 2)(e, 2)] [(a, 7)(d, 3)] [(a, 4)(b, 1)(e, 2)] >
5	< $[(b, 2)(e, 3)]$ $[(a, 6)(e, 3)]$ $[(a, 2)(b, 1)]$ >

- (*a*, 2): **Q-item** [(*a*, 2)(*e*, 6)]: **Q-itemset** *s*₁ - *s*₅: **Q-sequence**
- Q-itemset containing
 [(a, 4)(b, 1)(e, 2)] contains q-itemsets
 (a, 4), [(a, 4)(e, 2)] and [(a, 4)(b, 1)(e, 2)]
 but not [(a, 2)(e, 2)] and [(a, 4)(c, 1)].
- Q-sequence containing
 ([(b, 2)(e, 3)][(a, 6)(e, 3)][(a, 2)(b, 1)]>
 contains q-sequences
 ((b, 2)>, <[((b, 2)(e, 3)]> and
 ([(b, 2)][(e, 3)](a, 2)>
 but not [(a, 2)(e, 2)] and [(a, 4)(c, 1)].



4. High Impact/Utility Behavior Analysis **Problem Statement: Matching**

Table 1: Quality Table

Items	а	b	с	d	е	f
Quality	2	5	4	3	1	1

Table 2: Quantitative Sequence Database

SID	Quantitative Sequence
1	< $(e, 5)$ $[(c, 2)(f, 1)]$ $(b, 2)$ >
2	< $[(a, 2)(e, 6)]$ $[(a, 1)(b, 1)(c, 2)]$ $[(a, 2)(d, 3)(e, 3)] >$
3	< $(c, 1)$ [$(a, 6)(d, 3)(e, 2)$] >
4	< $[(b, 2)(e, 2)]$ $[(a, 7)(d, 3)]$ $[(a, 4)(b, 1)(e, 2)]$ >
5	< $[(b, 2)(e, 3)]$ $[(a, 6)(e, 3)]$ $[(a, 2)(b, 1)]$ >

Sequence <*ea*> **matches**:

<(e, 6)(a, 1)> and <(e, 6)(a, 2)> in s_2 ; <(e, 2)(a, 7)> and <(e, 2)(a, 4)> in s_4 ; <(e, 3)(a, 6)> and <(e, 3)(a, 2)> in s_5 ;

Denote as <(*e*, 6)(*a*, 1)> ~ <*ea*>



4. High Impact/Utility Behavior Analysis **Problem Statement: Utilities**

The Sequence Utility Framework

The q-item utility:

$$u(i,q) = f_{u_{l}}(p(i),q)$$
The q-itemset utility:

$$u(l) = f_{u_{ls}}(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} u(i_{j},q_{j}))$$
The q-sequence utility:

$$u(s) = f_{u_{s}}(\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} u(l_{j}))$$
The q-sequence database utility:

$$u(s) = f_{u_{s}}(\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} u(l_{j}))$$
The q-sequence database utility:

$$u(s) = f_{u_{db}}(\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} u(s_{j}))$$
For example:

$$u(s) = f_{u_{db}}(\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} u(s_{j}))$$
V(, s₄) = {u(<(ea>, s₂), v(, s₄), v(, s₅)}



u(<(*e*, 2)(*a*, 4)>)}

4. High Impact/Utility Behavior Analysis **Problem Statement: Utilities**

High Utility Sequential Pattern Mining



The sequence utility in a database:

$$v(t) = u_{max}(t) = \sum \max\{u(s')|s' \sim t \cap s' \subseteq s \cap s \in S\}$$

For example: $V(\langle ea \rangle, s_4) = \{16, 10\}$ $V(\langle ea \rangle) = \{\{8, 10\}, \{16, 10\}, \{15, 7\}\}$

Sequence t is a high utility sequential pattern if and only if $u_{max} \ge \xi$ where ξ is a user-specified minimum utility.

Target: Extracting all high utility sequential patterns in S satisfying ξ .



4. High Impact/Utility Behavior Analysis USpan Algorithm

Challenges of mining for high utility patterns

$$u_{max} (\langle a \rangle) = 4 + 12 + 14 + 12 = 42$$

 $u_{max} (\langle ab \rangle) = 7 + 13 + 9 = 29$
 $u_{max} (\langle abc \rangle) = 15$
 $u_{max} (\langle (abc)a \rangle) = 19$

No Downward Closure Property



4. High Impact/Utility Behavior Analysis USpan Algorithm

Lexicographic Q-sequence Tree





4. Hig	I. High Impact/Utility Behavior Analysis v() = {10, 5}												
									tems	11	12	13	
		03	pan	Alg	Ori	tnn	Ņ		а		14	8	
									b	10●		5	
	Tak		:						d		9		
	lac	ne 1: Quai	ity lable						е	2		2	
	Items	a b c	d e	f			v(<(I	be)>) :	= {10 +	2, 5 + 2	} = {12, 1	7}	
	Quality 2	2 5 4	3 1	1					tems	11	12	13	
	Table 2. Or		Converse	Databasa					а		14	8	
CID	Table 2: Qu	antitative	Sequence	Database					b	10		5	
SID		Quantitat	ive Sequen	ce					d		9		
1	<	(e, 5) [(c,	2)(f, 1)] (b	,2)>					е	2●		2	
2	< [(a, 2)(e, 6)]	[(a, 1)(b,	1)(<i>c</i> , 2)] [(a, 2)(d, 3)(e	, 3)] >	v(<	(be)a>	>) = {1	2 + 14,	12 + 8}	= {26, 2	0} 👢	
3	<	(<i>c</i> , 1) [(<i>a</i> ,	, 6)(<i>d</i> , 3)(<i>e</i> , 2	2)] >					Items	11	12	13	
4	< [(b, 2)(e,	2)] [(<i>a</i> , 7)(d, 3)] [(a, 4	4)(b, 1)(e, 2)] >				а		14●	8	
5	< [(b, 2)	[e, 3)] [(a,	6)(<i>e,</i> 3)] [(a, 2)(b, 1)]	>				b	10		5	
									d		9		
	4	7							е	2		2	
Items	ltemset 1	Itemset 2	Itemset 3	v(<(be)(ad)a>) = {35	+ 8}	v(<(be	e)(ad)>) = {26 -	+ 9} = {3!	5}	
а		14	8	Items	11	12	13		Items	11	12	13	
b	10		5	а		14	8●		а		14	8	
d		9		b	10		5		b	10		5	
е	2		2	d		9			d		9●		
				е	2		2		е	2		2	

USpan Algorithm: Concatenation

Data Representation



USpan Algorithm: Width Pruning

What is Width Pruning



USpan Algorithm: Width Pruning

What to Width Prune

Table 1: Quality Table

Items	а	b	С	d	е	f
Quality	2	5	4	3	1	1

<f> should be width-pruned

Table 2: Quantitative Sequence Database

SID	Quantitative Sequence	SU
1	< (e, 5) [(c, 2)(f, 1)] (b, 2) >	24
2	< $[(a, 2)(e, 6)]$ $[(a, 1)(b, 1)(c, 2)]$ $[(a, 2)(d, 3)(e, 3)]$ >	41
3	< $(c, 1)$ [$(a, 6)(d, 3)(e, 2)$] >	27
4	< $[(b, 2)(e, 2)]$ $[(a, 7)(d, 3)]$ $[(a, 4)(b, 1)(e, 2)]$ >	50
5	< $[(b, 2)(e, 3)]$ $[(a, 6)(e, 3)]$ $[(a, 2)(b, 1)]$ >	42

SIDQuantitative SequenceSU1< (e, 5)
$$[(c, 2)(f, 1)]$$
 (b, 2) >242< $[(a, 2)(e, 6)]$ $[(a, 1)(b, 1)(c, 2)]$ $[(a, 2)(d, 3)(e, 3)] >413< (c, 1) $[(a, 6)(d, 3)(e, 2)] >274< $[(b, 2)(e, 2)]$ $[(a, 7)(d, 3)]$ $[(a, 4)(b, 1)(e, 2)] >505< $[(b, 2)(e, 3)]$ $[(a, 6)(e, 3)]$ $[(a, 2)(b, 1)] >42$$$$

SWU(
$$\langle ea \rangle$$
) = $u(s_2) + u(s_4) + u(s_5)$
= 41 + 50 + 24
= 115

SWU(*<f>*) = *u*(*s*₁) = 24



USpan Algorithm: Depth Pruning

What is Depth Pruning



USpan Algorithm: Depth Pruning

What to Depth Prune

Table 1: Quality Table

Items	а	b	с	d	е	f
Quality	2	5	4	3	1	1

Table 2: Quantitative Sequence Database

SID	Quantitative Sequence					
1	< $(e, 5)$ $[(c, 2)(f, 1)]$ $(b, 2)$ >	24				
2	< $[(a, 2)(e, 6)]$ $[(a, 1)(b, 1)(c, 2)]$ $[(a, 2)(d, 3)(e, 3)]$ >	41				
3	< $(c, 1)$ [$(a, 6)(d, 3)(e, 2)$] >	27				
4	< [(b, 2)(e, 2)] [(a, 7)(d, 3)] [(a, 4)(b, 1)(e, 2)] >	50				
5	< [(b, 2)(e, 3)] [(a, 6)(e, 3)] [(a, 2)(b, 1)] >	42				

SID	Quantitative Sequence	SU
1	< (e, 5) [(c, 2)(f, 1)] (b, 2) >	24
2	< $[(a, 2)(e, 6)]$ $[(a, 1)(b, 1)(c, 2)]$ $[(a, 2)(d, 3)(e, 3)]$ >	41
3	< $(c, 1)$ [$(a, 6)(d, 3)(e, 2)$] >	27
4	< [(b, 2)(e, 2)] [(a, 7)(d, 3)] [(a, 4)(b, 1)(e, 2)] >	50
5	< [(b, 2)(e, 3)] [(a, 6)(e, 3)] [(a, 2)(b, 1)] >	42

<e(ae)> should be **depth-pruned**

$$u_{rest} (\langle ea \rangle) = (8+29) + (16+24) + (15+17)$$

= 37 + 40 + 32
= 109

$$u_{rest} (\langle e(ae) \rangle) = (18 + 9)$$

= 27



Experiments

Datasets

Synthetic Datasets

Parameters	DS1	DS2
that the average number of elements	10	8
the average number of items in an element	2.5	2.5
the average length of a maximal pattern	4	6
the average number of items per element	2.5	2.5
Number of sequences	10k	10k
Number of items	1k	10k

Real Datasets

DS3 is a dataset consisting of online shopping transactions which contains 350,241 transactions and 59,477 customers.

DS4 is a real dataset that includes mobile communication transactions. The dataset is a 100,000 mobile call history from a specific day. There are 67,420 customers in the dataset.



Experiments

Performance and distributions (DS2)



- The running time and the number of patterns grow exponentially with respect to ξ.
- The high utility sequential patterns are mid-long patterns.



Experiments

Scalability Test (DS1 & DS2)



 Both the time and memory usage grow linearly with respect to the size of the DB.
 UTS:

THE ADVANCED ANALYTICS INSTITUTE

4. High Impact/Utility Behavior Analysis Experiments

High Utility Sequential Pattern vs. Frequent Sequential Patterns (DS3)



 USpan out performs Prefixspan with respect to the utilities of the patterns.

4. High Impact/Utility Behavior Analysis Conclusions

- 1. We define the problem of mining high utility sequential patterns.
- 2. We propose the USpan to efficiently mine for mining high utility sequential patterns.
- 3. Two pruning strategies are proposed to substantially reduce the search space.
- 4. Experiments on both synthetic and real datasets show that USpan can discover the high utility sequential patterns efficiently.





9. Negative Behavior Analysis





Negative sequential pattern mining



References

- Xiangjun Dong, Zhigang Zhao, Longbing Cao, Yanchang Zhao, Chengqi Zhang, Jinjiu Li, Wei Wei, Yuming Ou. <u>e-NSP: Efficient Negative Sequential</u> <u>Pattern Mining Based on Identified Positive Patterns Without Database</u> <u>Rescanning</u>, CIKM 2011, 825-830.
- Zhigang Zheng, Yanchang Zhao, Ziye Zuo, Longbing Cao. An Efficient GA-Based Algorithm for Mining Negative Sequential Patterns, *PAKDD2010*, 262-273.
- Zhigang Zheng, Yanchang Zhao, Ziye Zuo, Longbing Cao. Negative-GSP: An Efficient Method for Mining Negative Sequential Patterns, *AusDM 2009*: 63-67.
- Yanchang Zhao, Huaifeng Zhang, Shanshan Wu, Jian Pei, Longbing Cao, Chengqi Zhang and Hans Bohlscheid. Debt Detection in Social Security by Sequence Classification Using Both Positive and Negative Patterns, *ECML/PKDD2009*, 648-663.
- Yanchang Zhao, Huaifeng Zhang, Longbing Cao, Chengqi Zhang and Hans Bohlscheid. Mining Both Positive and Negative Impact-Oriented Sequential Rules From Transactional Data, *PAKDD2009*, pp.656-663.



Problem description

- What is negative sequential patterns?
- Focus on negative relationship between itemsets
- Absent items are taken into consideration
- Example: $p_1 = \langle a \ b \ c \ d \rangle \ vs \ p_2 = \langle a \ b \ \neg c \ e \rangle$
- *Each item, a, b, c, d* and *e*, stands for a claim item of insurance.
- *p1: an insurant usually claims for a, b, c and d in a claim.*
- *p2: does NOT claim c after a and b, then claim item e instead of d.*



5. Negative Behavior Analysis

PSP & NSP

PSP: Positive Sequential Pattern

Only contain occurring itemsets

E.g. p1=<a b c X>.

Existing Methods:

AprioriAll, GSP, FreeSpan, PrefixSpan, SPADE, SPAM

NSP: Negative Sequential Pattern

Also contain non-occurring itemsets
 E.g. p1=<a b ¬c X>.

Limited research: Neg_GSP, PNSP



Challenges for NSP

• Apriori principle doesn't work for some situations

• Huge search space

- 10 distinct items
- 3-item PSC: 10³
- 3-item NSC: 20³



5. Negative Behavior Analysis

Difficulties in Mining NSP

High Computational Complexity. Additionally scanning database after identifying PSP.

Large NSC Search Space. k-size NSC by conducting a joining operation on (k-1) size NSP. (NSC : Negative Sequential Candidates)

No Unified Definition about Negative Containment. How a data sequence contains a negative sequence? <a> contains < a¬a >? <a> contains < ¬a a¬a >?



Non-occurrence behaviour analysis

(Negative sequence analysis)

 Table 1. Supports, Confidences and Lifts of Four Types of Sequential Rules

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Rules	Support	Confidence	Table	1 Colorted Desitive and N	Compting (Paguantial	Dulas
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Ι	$A \rightarrow B$	P(AB)	$\frac{P(AB)}{P(A)}$	Table	4. Selected Positive and N	legative	sequential	Rules
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	II	$A \rightarrow \neg B$	P(A) - P(AB)	$\frac{P(A) - P(AB)}{P(A)}$	Type	Rule	Support	Confidence	Lift
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		1 D	international and a second second	P(B) - P(A&I)		REA ADV ADV \rightarrow DEB	0.103	0.53	2.02
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	111	$\neg A \rightarrow B$	P(B) - P(A&B)	1-P(A)		DOC DOC REA REA ANO→DEB	0.101	0.33	1.28
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	IV	$\neg A \rightarrow \neg B$	$1 P(A) P(B) \mid P(A s, B)$	1 - P(A) - P(B)		RPR ANO \rightarrow DEB	0.111	0.33	1.25
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	11		$1 = I(A) = I(B) + I(A \otimes B)$	1-P(I	RPR STM STM RPR \rightarrow DEB	0.137	0.32	1.22
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						MCV→DEB	0.104	0.31	1.19
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						ANO→DEB	0.139	0.31	1.19
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						STM PYI \rightarrow DEB	0.106	0.30	1.16
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						STM PYR RPR REA RPT $\rightarrow \neg$ DEB	0.166	0.86	1.16
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						$MND \rightarrow \neg DEB$	0.116	0.85	1.15
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						STM PYR RPR DOC RPT $\rightarrow \neg$ DEB	0.120	0.84	1.14
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					II	STM PYR RPR REA PLN $\rightarrow \neg$ DEB	0.132	0.84	1.14
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						REA PYR RPR RPT $\rightarrow \neg$ DEB	0.176	0.84	1.14
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						REA DOC REA CPI $\rightarrow \neg$ DEB	0.083	0.83	1.12
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						REA CRT DLY $\rightarrow \neg$ DEB	0.091	0.83	1.12
$ \begin{split} & \neg \{ \text{PYR RPR REA STM} \rightarrow \text{DEB} & 0.169 & 0.33 & 1.26 \\ & \neg \{ \text{PYR CCO} \rightarrow \text{DEB} & 0.165 & 0.32 & 1.24 \\ & \neg \{ \text{STM RPR REA RPT} \rightarrow \text{DEB} & 0.184 & 0.29 & 1.13 \\ & \neg \{ \text{RPT RPR REA RPT} \rightarrow \text{DEB} & 0.213 & 0.29 & 1.12 \\ & & \neg \{ \text{CCO RPT} \rightarrow \text{DEB} & 0.171 & 0.29 & 1.11 \\ & & \neg \{ \text{CCO RPT} \rightarrow \text{DEB} & 0.187 & 0.28 & 1.09 \\ & & & \neg \{ \text{CCO PLN} \rightarrow \text{DEB} & 0.187 & 0.28 & 1.09 \\ & & & & \neg \{ \text{PLN RPT} \rightarrow \text{DEB} & 0.212 & 0.28 & 1.08 \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & $						REA CPI $\rightarrow \neg$ DEB	0.109	0.83	1.12
$III = \frac{\neg \{PYR \ CCO\} \rightarrow DEB}{\neg \{STM \ RPR \ REA \ RPT\} \rightarrow DEB} = 0.165 = 0.32 = 1.24 \\ \neg \{STM \ RPR \ REA \ RPT\} \rightarrow DEB = 0.184 = 0.29 = 1.13 \\ \neg \{RPT \ RPR \ REA \ RPT\} \rightarrow DEB = 0.213 = 0.29 = 1.12 \\ \neg \{CCO \ RPT\} \rightarrow DEB = 0.171 = 0.29 = 1.11 \\ \neg \{CCO \ PLN\} \rightarrow DEB = 0.187 = 0.28 = 1.09 \\ \neg \{PLN \ RPT\} \rightarrow DEB = 0.212 = 0.28 = 1.08 \\ \neg \{PLN \ RPT\} \rightarrow DEB = 0.212 = 0.28 = 1.08 \\ \neg \{PLN \ RPT\} \rightarrow DEB = 0.651 = 0.79 = 1.07 \\ \neg \{STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.651 = 0.79 = 1.07 \\ \neg \{DOC \ FRV\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.677 = 0.78 = 1.06 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.673 = 0.78 = 1.06 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.673 = 0.78 = 1.06 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05 \\ \neg \{DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 0.061 \\ \neg \{STM \ STM $						\neg {PYR RPR REA STM} \rightarrow DEB	0.169	0.33	1.26
$ \begin{split} & \Pi \\ &$						\neg {PYR CCO} \rightarrow DEB	0.165	0.32	1.24
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						\neg {STM RPR REA RPT} \rightarrow DEB	0.184	0.29	1.13
$\frac{\neg \{CCO \text{ RPT}\} \rightarrow \text{DEB}}{\neg \{CCO \text{ PLN}\} \rightarrow \text{DEB}} \begin{array}{ccc} 0.171 & 0.29 & 1.11 \\ \hline \neg \{CCO \text{ PLN}\} \rightarrow \text{DEB} & 0.187 & 0.28 & 1.09 \\ \hline \neg \{\text{PLN } \text{ RPT}\} \rightarrow \text{DEB} & 0.212 & 0.28 & 1.08 \\ \hline \neg \{\text{ADV } \text{REA } \text{ADV}\} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB} & 0.648 & 0.80 & 1.08 \\ \hline \neg \{\text{STM } \text{EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB} & 0.651 & 0.79 & 1.07 \\ \hline \neg \{\text{STM } \text{EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB} & 0.650 & 0.79 & 1.07 \\ \hline \neg \{\text{DOC } \text{FRV}\} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB} & 0.677 & 0.78 & 1.06 \\ \hline \neg \{\text{DOC } \text{DOC } \text{STM } \text{EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB} & 0.673 & 0.78 & 1.06 \\ \hline \neg \{\text{DOC } \text{DOC } \text{STM } \text{EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB} & 0.681 & 0.78 & 1.05 \\ \hline \end{array}$					III	\neg {RPT RPR REA RPT} \rightarrow DEB	0.213	0.29	1.12
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						$\neg \{CCO RPT\} \rightarrow DEB$	0.171	0.29	1.11
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						$\neg \{CCO PLN\} \rightarrow DEB$	0.187	0.28	1.09
$IV = \frac{\neg \{ADV \text{ REA } ADV\} \rightarrow \neg DEB}{\neg \{STM \text{ EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg DEB} = \frac{0.648}{0.651} = \frac{0.69}{0.79} = \frac{1.08}{1.07}$ $\frac{\neg \{STM \text{ EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg DEB}{\neg \{DOC \text{ FRV}\} \rightarrow \neg DEB} = \frac{0.650}{0.650} = \frac{0.79}{0.78} = \frac{1.06}{1.06}$ $\frac{\neg \{DOC \text{ DOC } STM \text{ EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg DEB}{\neg \{DOC \text{ DOC } STM \text{ EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg DEB} = \frac{0.681}{0.78} = \frac{0.78}{0.78} = \frac{1.05}{0.78}$						$\neg \{PLN RPT\} \rightarrow DEB$	0.212	0.28	1.08
$IV = \frac{\neg \{STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB}{\neg \{REA \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB} = \frac{0.651}{0.79} = \frac{0.79}{1.07}$ $\frac{\neg \{REA \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB}{\neg \{DOC \ FRV\} \rightarrow \neg DEB} = \frac{0.650}{0.677} = \frac{0.78}{0.78} = \frac{1.06}{1.06}$ $\frac{\neg \{DOC \ DOC \ STM \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB}{\neg \{CCO \ EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB} = \frac{0.681}{0.681} = \frac{0.78}{0.78} = \frac{1.05}{0.651}$						$\neg \{ADV \text{ REA } ADV\} \rightarrow \neg DEB$	0.648	0.80	1.08
IV $\neg \{\text{REA EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB}$ 0.6500.791.07 $\neg \{\text{DOC FRV}\} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB}$ 0.6770.781.06 $\neg \{\text{DOC DOC STM EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB}$ 0.6730.781.06 $\neg \{\text{CCO EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB}$ 0.6810.781.05						$\neg \{\text{STM EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB}$	0.651	0.79	1.07
$ \begin{array}{c c} \neg \{\text{DOC FRV}\} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB} & 0.677 & 0.78 & 1.06 \\ \hline \neg \{\text{DOC DOC STM EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB} & 0.673 & 0.78 & 1.06 \\ \hline \neg \{\text{CCO EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB} & 0.681 & 0.78 & 1.05 \\ \hline \end{array} $					IV	$\neg \{ \text{REA EAN} \} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB}$	0.650	0.79	1.07
$\neg \{\text{DOC DOC STM EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB} 0.673 0.78 1.06$ $\neg \{\text{CCO EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB} 0.681 0.78 1.05$						$\neg \{ \text{DOC FRV} \} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB}$	0.677	0.78	1.06
$\neg \{CCO \text{ EAN}\} \rightarrow \neg DEB = 0.681 = 0.78 = 1.05$						$\neg \{ \text{DOC DOC STM EAN} \} \rightarrow \neg \text{DEB}$	0.673	0.78	1.06
						$\neg \{ CCO EAN \} \rightarrow \neg DEB$	0.681	0.78	1.05

Genetic-Algorithm based NSP approach: GA-NSP

- Find good (frequent) genes with good performance (supp), and optimize genes (FP) through crossover and mutation, m*generations
- Improve gene quality (making more and more frequent)

Strengths:

- Treat candidates unequally
- Very low support threshold
- Find long-NSP at the beginning



GA-NSP

- *New generations*: good genes (freq patterns) through crossover and mutation operations.
- *Population evolution control:* fitness and dynamic fitness.
- *Performance improvement: pruning method (check constraints of NSP)*



Problem Statement

- Sequence (general)
 - $s = \langle e_1 e_2 \dots e_n \rangle$ i.e. $\langle a b (c,d) e \rangle$, $\langle a \neg b c e \rangle$
- Positive/Negative Sequence
 s_p =<e₁ e₂ ... e_n>, all elements are positive
 s_n =<e₁ e₂ ... e_n>, at least one element is negative

• Negative Sequential Pattern

- Its support is greater than minimum support threshold.
- Two or more continuous negative elements are not accepted.
- For each negative item, its corresponding positive item is required to be frequent.
- Items in an element should be all positive or all negative. i.e. <a (a, ¬b) c> is not allowed.



• Negative Matching

Negative Matching. A negative sequence s_n=<e₁ e₂ ... e_k> matches a data sequence s=<d₁ d₂ ... d_m>, iff:
1) s contains the max positive subsequence of s_n
2) for each negative element e_i(1≤i≤k), there exist integers p, q, r(1≤p≤q≤r≤m) such that: ∃e_{i-1}⊆d_p∧e_{i+1}⊆d_r, and for ∀d_q, e_i⊄d_q

	Sequence	Matching	Data Sequence
S_{I}	<i><b <="" i=""></i>	No	<b a="" c="" d="" f="">
S_2	<b< td=""><td>Yes</td><td><i><b a="" c="" d="" f=""></i></td></b<>	Yes	<i><b a="" c="" d="" f=""></i>



GA-NSP Algorithm

Encoding

Sequence		Chromosome		
		$gene_1$	$gene_2$	$gene_3$
$\langle a \ b \ \neg(c,d) \rangle$	\Rightarrow	+ <i>a</i>	+b	$\neg(c,d)$

Crossover

parent1	$b \neg c \uparrow a$	\Rightarrow	child1	$b \neg c e$	$parent1 b \neg c a \uparrow \Rightarrow child1 b \neg c$	¬c a d e
parent2	d	\Rightarrow	child2	d a	$parent2 \uparrow \mathbf{d} \mathbf{e} \Rightarrow child2 \mathbf{d} \mathbf{e}$	$b \neg c a$

Mutation

Select a random position and then replace all genes after that position with 1-item patterns



Fitness & Dynamic Fitness

$$ind.fitness = (ind.support - min_sup) \times DatasetSize.$$
(1)

$$ind.dfitness = \begin{cases} ind.fitness, & \text{initial set} \\ ind.dfitness \times (1 - \underline{DecayRate}), & \text{if } ind \text{ is selected} \end{cases}$$
(2)

Selection

```
Selection(pop){ //Subfunction for selecting top K individuals from population
for (each ind with top K dfitness in pop){
    popK.add(ind);
    ind.dfitness = ind.dfitness * (1-decay_rate);
    if (ind.dfitness < 0.01) ind.dfitness = 0;
    }
    return popK;
}</pre>
```





GA-NSP Pseudocode

```
RunGA(min_sup, decay_rate, crossover_rate, mutation_rate){
  pop = initialPopulation();
  for (each individual ind in pop){
    ind.fitness = calculateFitness(ind);
    ind.dfitness = ind.fitness
    pop.sum\_dfitness = pop.sum\_dfitness + ind.dfitness
  }
  while (pop.sum_dfitness > 0){
    popK = \text{Selection}(pop);
    if (Random() < crossover\_rate) Crossover(popK);
    if (Random() < mutation_rate) Mutation(popK);
    for (each individual ind in popK)
      if (Prune(ind) ! = true \&\& ind.sup >= min\_sup)
                                                         pop.add(ind);
  return pop;
```



Experiments Result .1

- Datasets
- *Dataset*1(*DS*1) is C8.T8.S4.I8.DB10k.N1k, which means the average number of elements in a sequence is 8, the average number of items in an element is 8, the average length of a maximal pattern consists of 4 elements and each element is composed of 8 items average. The data set contains 10k sequences, the number of items is 1000.
- *Dataset2(DS*2) is C10.T2.5.S4.I2.5.DB100k.N10k.
- *Dataset*3(*DS*3) is C20.T4.S6.I8.DB10k.N2k.
- *Dataset*4(*DS*4) is real application data for insurance claims.






• Decay Rate



• Comparison with PNSP, Neg-GSP







Classification of both positive and negative behavior patterns

•Huaifeng Zhang, Yanchang Zhao, Longbing Cao, Chengqi Zhang and Hans Bohlscheid. Customer Activity Sequence Classification for Debt Prevention in Social Security, *Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, 24(6): 1000-1009 (2009).

•Yanchang Zhao, Huaifeng Zhang, Shanshan Wu, Jian Pei,Longbing Cao, Chengqi Zhang and Hans Bohlscheid. Debt Detection in Social Security by Sequence Classification Using Both Positive and Negative Patterns. ECML/PKDD2009, 648-663.



Sequence classification

Let T be a finite set of class labels. A sequential classifier is a function

$$F : S \rightarrow T$$
. (1)

In sequence classification, the classifier \mathcal{F} is built on the base of frequent *classifiable sequential patterns* \mathcal{P} .

Definition 3.1 (Classifiable Sequential Pattern). Classifiable Sequential Patterns (CSP) are frequent sequential patterns for the sequential classifier in the form of $p_a \Rightarrow \tau$, where p_a is a frequent pattern in the sequence database S.

Based on the mined classifiable sequential patterns, a sequential classifier can be formulised as

$$\mathcal{F} : s \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} \tau.$$



• Class correlation ratio

$$CCR(p_a \rightarrow \tau) = \frac{\hat{corr}(p_a \rightarrow \tau)}{\hat{corr}(p_a \rightarrow \neg \tau)} = \frac{a \cdot (c+d)}{c \cdot (a+b)},$$

$$\hat{corr}(p_a \to \tau) = \frac{\sup(p_a \cup \tau)}{\sup(p_a) \cdot \sup(\tau)} = \frac{a \cdot n}{(a+c) \cdot (a+b)}.$$

 Table 2. Feature-Class Contingency Table

	p_a	$\neg p_a$	Σ
τ	a	b	a + b
$\neg \tau$	с	d	c + d
\sum	a + c	b+d	n = a + b + c + d





Type	Rule	Support	Confidence	Lift
	REA ADV $ADV \rightarrow DEB$	0.103	0.53	2.02
	DOC DOC REA REA ANO→DEB	0.101	0.33	1.28
	RPR ANO \rightarrow DEB	0.111	0.33	1.25
I	RPR STM STM RPR \rightarrow DEB	0.137	0.32	1.22
	$MCV \rightarrow DEB$	0.104	0.31	1.19
	ANO→DEB	0.139	0.31	1.19
	$STM PYI \rightarrow DEB$	0.106	0.30	1.16
	STM PYR RPR REA RPT $\rightarrow \neg$ DEB	0.166	0.86	1.16
	$MND \rightarrow \neg DEB$	0.116	0.85	1.15
	STM PYR RPR DOC RPT $\rightarrow \neg$ DEB	0.120	0.84	1.14
II	STM PYR RPR REA PLN $\rightarrow \neg$ DEB	0.132	0.84	1.14
	REA PYR RPR RPT $\rightarrow \neg DEB$	0.176	0.84	1.14
	REA DOC REA CPI $\rightarrow \neg$ DEB	0.083	0.83	1.12
	REA CRT DLY $\rightarrow \neg$ DEB	0.091	0.83	1.12
	REA $CPI \rightarrow \neg DEB$	0.109	0.83	1.12
	\neg {PYR RPR REA STM} \rightarrow DEB	0.169	0.33	1.26
	\neg {PYR CCO} \rightarrow DEB	0.165	0.32	1.24
	\neg {STM RPR REA RPT} \rightarrow DEB	0.184	0.29	1.13
III	\neg {RPT RPR REA RPT} \rightarrow DEB	0.213	0.29	1.12
	$\neg \{CCO RPT\} \rightarrow DEB$	0.171	0.29	1.11
	$\neg \{CCO PLN\} \rightarrow DEB$	0.187	0.28	1.09
	$\neg \{PLN RPT\} \rightarrow DEB$	0.212	0.28	1.08
	$\neg \{ADV \text{ REA } ADV\} \rightarrow \neg DEB$	0.648	0.80	1.08
	$\neg {STM EAN} \rightarrow \neg DEB$	0.651	0.79	1.07
IV	\neg {REA EAN} $\rightarrow \neg$ DEB	0.650	0.79	1.07
	$\neg \{DOC FRV\} \rightarrow \neg DEB$	0.677	0.78	1.06
	$\neg \{ DOC DOC STM EAN \} \rightarrow \neg DEB$	0.673	0.78	1.06
	$\neg \{CCO EAN\} \rightarrow \neg DEB$	0.681	0.78	1.05

Table 4. Selected Positive and Negative Sequential Rules $\$





	PS10 (mi	$in_sup = 0.1$)	$\mathrm{PS05}~(min_sup=0.05)$			
	Number	$\operatorname{Percent}(\%)$	Number	$\operatorname{Percent}(\%)$		
Type I	93,382	12.05	$127,\!174$	3.93		
Type II	45,821	5.91	$942,\!498$	29.14		
Type III	$79,\!481$	10.25	$1,\!317,\!588$	40.74		
Type IV	556,491	71.79	846,611	26.18		
Total	775,175	100	3,233,871	100		

Table 5. The Number of Patterns in PS10 and PS05

Table 6. Classification Results with Pattern Set $\mathrm{PS05\text{-}4K}$

Pattern	Number	40	60	80	100	150	200	300]
Neg&Pos	Recall	.438	.416	.286	.281	.422	.492	.659]
	Precision	.340	.352	.505	.520	.503	.474	.433	
	Accuracy	.655	.670	.757	.761	.757	.742	.705	
	Specificity	.726	.752	.909	.916	.865	.823	.720	
Positive	Recall	.130	.124	.141	.135	.151	.400	.605	
	Precision	.533	.523	.546	.472	.491	.490	.483	
	Accuracy	.760	.758	.749	.752	.754	.752	.745	
	Specificity	.963	.963	.946	.951	.949	.865	.790	

THE ADVANCED ANALYTICS INSTITUTE

5. Negative Behavior Analysis Negative Sequential Pattern Mining

The 20th ACM Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM 2011)

e-NSP: <u>Efficient Negative Sequential</u> <u>Pattern Mining Based on Identified</u> <u>Positive Patterns Without</u> <u>Database Rescanning</u>

Xiangjun Dong School of Information Shandong Polytechnic University, Jinan, China dxj@spu.edu.cn

Chengqi Zhang QCIS University of Technology, Sydney, Australia chengqi@it.uts.edu.au Zhigang Zheng,Longbing Cao QCIS, AAI University of Technology, Sydney, Australia {zgzheng,lbcao}@it.uts.edu.au Centrelink Australia yanchang.zhao@

Jinjiu Li QCIS, AAI University of Technology, Sydney, Australia jinjiu.li@eng.uts.edu.au Wei Wei, Yuming Ou QCIS, AAI University of Technology, Sydney, Australia {wwei,yuming}@it.uts.edu.au



THE ADVANCED ANALYTICS INSTITUTE

Some Definitions

Negative Item/Element:

Non-occurring item / element

Negative Sequence

A sequence includes at least one negative item

 Positive-partner of a Negative Element /Sequence

> p(¬e)= e. p(<a¬(ab) c>) =<a(ab) c>.

• Max Positive Sub-sequence $MPS(\langle a \neg (ab) c \rangle) = \langle ac \rangle.$



Constraints to Negative Sequence

Constraint 1. Frequency Constraint

This paper only focuses on the negative sequences ns whose positive partner is frequent, i.e., sup(p(ns))>=min_ sup.

Constraint 2. Format Constraint

Continuous negative elements in a NSC are not allowed.

< ¬(ab) c ¬ d> ✓ < ¬(ab) ¬ c d> X

Constraint 3. Element Negative Constraint

The minimum negative unit in a NSC is an element.

< ¬(ab) c d> ✓ <(¬ab) c d> Ⅹ



What does This Paper Do

E-NSP: Only use corresponding PSP information to calculate the support of negative sequence, without additional database scanning.

- A definition about negative containment.
- Three constraints for negative sequence
- A smart method to generate negative sequence candidate (NSC).
- A conversion strategy to convert negative containment problems to positive containment problems.
- A method to calculate the support of NSC.



The framework of E-NSP



- 1. Mine all PSP by traditional PSP mining algorithms;
- 2. Generate NSC based on these PSP;
- 3. Convert these NSC to corresponding PSP;
- 4. Get supports of NSC by calculating support of corresponding PSP.



Negative Containment Definition

Definition 4. Negative Containment Definition

Let $ds = \langle d_1 \ d_2 \ \dots \ d_t \rangle$ be a data sequence, $ns = \langle s_1 \ s_2 \ \dots \ s_m \rangle$ be an *m*-size and *n*-neg-size negative sequence, (1) if $m \geq 2t+1$, then ds does not contain ns; (2) if m=1 and n=1, then ds contains ns when $p(ns) \not\subseteq ds$; (3) otherwise, ds contains ns if, $\forall (s_i, id(s_i)) \in EidS_{ns}^ (1 \leq i \leq m)$, one of the following three holds:

(a) (lsb=1) or $(lsb>1) \land p(s_1) \not\subseteq \langle d_1 \dots d_{lsb-1} \rangle$, when i=1, (b) (fse=t) or $(0 < fse < t) \land p(s_m) \not\subseteq \langle d_{fse+1} \dots d_t \rangle$, when i=m, (c) $(fse>0 \land lsb=fse+1)$ or $(fse>0 \land lsb>fse+1) \land p(s_i) \not\subseteq \langle d_{fse+1} \dots d_{lsb-1} \rangle$, when 1 < i < m, where $fse=FSE(MPS(\langle s_1 \ s_2 \ \dots \ s_{i-1} \rangle), ds)$, $lsb=LSB(MPS(\langle s_{i+1} \ \dots \ s_m \rangle), ds)$.



Negative Containment Definition



ds contains ns if <s₁,...,s_i > contain MPS(ns_{left}), <s_j,...s_t > contain MPS(ns_{right}), and < s_{i+1},...s_{j-1}, >doesn't contain <e>. (To EACH negative element ¬e in ns) 5. Negative Behavior Analysis Example: Negative Containment Definition

 $ns = \langle a \neg bb(cde) \rangle$. $ds = \langle a(bc)d(cde) \rangle$.



ds contains ns.





1-neg-size Maximum Sub-sequence is a sequence that includes *MPS(ns)* and one negative element e in original sequence order.

1-neg-size maximum sub-sequence set is a set that includes all 1-neg-size maximum sub-sequences of ns, denoted as 1-neg MSS_{ns} .

Example ns=<a¬bc¬d>,

1-negMSSns ={<a¬bc>, <ac¬d>}



Negative Conversion Strategy

Given a data sequence $ds = \langle d_1 \ d_2 \ \dots \ d_t \rangle$, and $ns = \langle s_1 \ s_2 \ \dots \ s_m \rangle$, which is an *m*-size and *n*-neg-size negative sequence, the negative containment definition can be converted as follows: data sequence ds contains negative sequence ns if and only if the two conditions hold: (1) $MPS(ns) \subseteq ds$; and (2) $\forall 1$ -neg $MS \in 1$ -neg MSS_{ns} , p(1-neg $MS) \not\subseteq ds$.

Example *ns* =<*a*¬*bb*¬*a*(*cde*)>, *ds*=<*a*(*bc*)*d*(*cde*)>.

1-negMSSns={ <a¬bb(cde)> , <ab¬a(cde)> }

(1)MPS(ns)=< *ab*(*cde*)>⊆ds;

ds contains ns

```
(2)p(<a¬bb(cde)> )= <abb(cde)> ⊄ ds;
```

p(<*ab¬a*(*cde*)>)= <*aba*(*cde*)> ⊄ ds;



Negative Conversion Strategy



Now we can calculate the support of NSC only using the NSC's corresponding PSP.



Calculate the Support of NS

 $sup(ns) = |\{ ns \}| = |\{MPS(ns)\} - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \{p(1 - negMS_i)\}|$ (1)

Because $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \{p(1-negMS_i)\} \subseteq \{MPS(ns)\},$ equation 1 can be rewritten as: $sup(ns) = |\{MPS(ns)\}| - |\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \{p(1-negMS_i)\}|$ $= sup(MPS(ns)) - |\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \{p(1-negMS_i)\}|$ (2)

Example 10 $sup(\langle a \neg bc \neg de \rangle) = sup(\langle ace \rangle\}) - |\{\langle abce \rangle\} \cup \{\langle acde \rangle\}|;$ $sup(\langle \neg aa \neg a \rangle) = sup(\langle a \rangle) - |\{\langle aa \rangle\} \cup \{\langle aa \rangle\}| = sup(\langle a \rangle) - sup(\langle aa \rangle).$

If ns only contains a negative element, the support of ns is:

$$sup(ns) = sup(MPS(ns)) - sup(p(ns))$$
(3)

Example 11 $sup(\langle a \neg bce \rangle) = sup(\langle ace \rangle) - sup(\langle abce \rangle)$

Specially, for negative sequence $\langle \neg e \rangle$,

$$sup(\langle \neg e \rangle) = |D| - sup(\langle e \rangle).$$
 (4)



Calculate the Support of NS

 $sup(ns) = |\{MPS(ns)\}| - |\cup_{i=1}^{n}\{p(1-negMS_i)\}|$

 $= sup(MPS(ns)) - |\cup_{i=1}^{n} \{p(1 - negMS_i)\} |$ (2)

Known

PSP	Support	${sid}$
$\langle a \rangle$	4	-
$\langle b \rangle$	3	-
$\langle c \rangle$	2	-
$\langle a a \rangle$	3	$\{20, 30, 40\}$
$\langle a \rangle$	3	$\{10,20,30\}$
$\langle a \rangle$	2	$\{10,30\}$
< b c >	2	$\{10,30\}$
$\langle (ab) \rangle$	2	-
$\langle a \ b \ c \rangle$	2	$\{10,30\}$
$\langle a (ab) \rangle$	2	$\{20,30\}$

Calculate the union set of {p(1-negMSi)}. (p(1-negMSi) are frequent.)



Negative Sequential Candidates Generation

Definition . e-NSP Candidate Generation

For a *k*-size PSP, its NSC are generated by changing any *m* non-contiguous element(s) to its (their) negative one(s), $m=1,2, ..., \lceil k/2 \rceil$, where $\lceil k/2 \rceil$ is a minimum integer that is not less than k/2.

Example. s= <(ab) c d> include: m=1, <¬(ab) c d>,<(ab) ¬cd>,<(ab) c¬d>; m=2, <¬(ab) c ¬d>.



An Example

Table 1: Example Data Set

\mathbf{Sid}	Data Sequence
10	$< a \ b \ c >$
20	$\langle a (ab) \rangle$
30	$\langle (ae) (ab) c \rangle$
40	$\langle a a \rangle$
50	$\langle d \rangle$

Table 2: Example Result - Positive Patterns

\mathbf{PSP}	Support	${sid}$
$\langle a \rangle$	4	-
< b >	3	-
$\langle c \rangle$	2	-
< a a >	3	$\{20, 30, 40\}$
< a b >	3	$\{10,20,30\}$
$\langle a \rangle$	2	$\{10,30\}$
< b c >	2	$\{10,30\}$
<(ab)>	2	-
$< a \ b \ c >$	2	$\{10,30\}$
$\langle a (ab) \rangle$	2	$\{20,30\}$



An Example

Table 3: Example Result - NSC and Support (min_sup=2)

PSP	NSC	Related PSP	Sup
$\langle a \rangle$	$\langle \neg a \rangle$	$\langle a \rangle$	1
< b >	<-b>	$<\!b>$	2
< c >	$\langle \neg c \rangle$	$\langle c \rangle$	3
< a a >	$< \neg a a >$	$<\!\!a\!\!>, <\!\!a$ $a\!\!>$	1
	$< a \neg a >$	$<\!\!a\!\!>, <\!\!a$ $a\!\!>$	1
$\langle a \rangle$	$< \neg a b >$, 	0
	$< a \neg b >$	$<\!\!a\!\!>, <\!\!a$ $b\!\!>$	1
< a c >	$< \neg a c >$	$<\!c\!>, <\!a c\!>$	0
	$\langle a \neg e \rangle$	$<\!\!a\!\!>, <\!\!a c\!\!>$	2
< b c >	$< \neg b c >$	$<\!c\!>, <\!b c\!>$	0
	$< b \neg c >$	$<\!b\!>, <\!b \ c\!>$	1
$\langle (ab) \rangle$	$\langle \neg(ab) \rangle$	$\langle (ab) \rangle$	3
$\langle a (ab) \rangle$	$< \neg a (ab) >$	<(ab)>, <a (ab)="">	0
	$\langle a \neg (ab) \rangle$	$<\!\!a\!\!>,<\!\!a$ $(ab)\!\!>$	2
< a b c >	$< \neg a \ b \ c >$	$< b \ c >, < a \ b \ c >$	0
	$< a \neg b c >$, 	0
	$< a b \neg c >$	< a b >, $< a b c >$	1
	$< \neg a \ b \ \neg c >$	$<\!b\!>, <\!a b\!>, <\!b c\!>$	0

5. Negative Behavior Analysis Experiment and Evaluation

Data Sets

Four source datasets including both real data and synthetic datasets generated by IBM data generator. Partition these datasets to 14 datasets according to different data factors.



Table 4: Dataset Characteristics Analysis Result

ID	Dataset Characteristics	min sup	$\underset{(t_1,s)}{\mathbf{NGSP}}$	$\frac{\mathbf{PNSP}}{(t_2,s)}$	$eNSP$ (t_3,s)	t_3/t_2
Det	Comedate DDtol Nico	0.04	1451.7	638.2	14.94	2.3%
DSI	C8145616.DB10k.N100	0.06	241.4	163.1	4.16	2.5%
		0.08	78.9	61.9	1.53	2.5%
DOI 1	CATAGOLO DE 101 NI100	0.01	517.5	208.4	1.08	0.5%
DS1.1	<u>C4</u> 145616.DB10k.N100	0.015	130.4	64.5	0.33	0.5%
		0.02	48.0	28.4	0.16	0.5%
Date	CHOTICALA DELAL MAGA	0.14	229.0	191.9	7.99	4.2%
DS1.2	<u>C12</u> T4S6I6.DB10k.N100	0.16	127.6	109.5	4.49	4.1%
		0.18	13.8	66.9	2.53	3.8%
DCIO	Competeix DD101 N100	0.22	130.8	118.5	5.22	4.4%
DS1.3	C8 <u>18</u> 5616.DB10k.N100	0.24	83.7	76.5	3.19	4.2%
		0.26	55.9	52.8	2.14	4.1%
DOLL	ComtaGala DDial Missa	0.3	1205.2	969.3	57.55	5.9%
DS1.4	C8 <u>T12</u> S616.DB10k.N100	0.4	133.2	123.5	6.75	5.5%
		0.5	23.6	23.0	1.06	4.6%
Date	Comunication Distal Manag	0.04	1130.0	478.6	12.22	2.6%
DS1.5	C814 <u>S12</u> 16.DB10k.N100	0.06	187.0	124.7	3.39	2.7%
		0.08	61.2	47.5	1.23	2.6%
2001	Colling of a D D col. Maga	0.04	297.1	157.4	3.47	2.2%
DS1.6	C8T4 <u>S18</u> 16.DB10k.N100	0.06	64.2	45.5	0.97	2.1%
		0.08	23.5	19.0	0.36	1.9%
DOLL	COTTO A DE DE LA MARA	0.06	690.2	395.1	7.33	1.9%
DS1.7	C814S6 <u>110</u> .DB10k.N100	0.07	334.7	227.5	4.23	1.9%
		0.08	188.1	138.0	2.63	1.9%
	Company of Direct Marcol	0.08	983.9	630.8	8.88	1.4%
DS1.8	C8T4S6 <u>I14</u> .DB10k.N100	0.1	320.5	248.9	3.63	1.5%
		0.12	141.8	112.7	1.61	1.4%
		0.03	378.2	98.4	0.59	0.6%
DS1.9	C8T4S6I6.DB10k. <u>N200</u>	0.04	101.8	43.1	0.17	0.4%
		0.05	39.5	23.3	0.06	0.3%
Davis		0.015	823.0	97.4	0.08	0.1%
DS1.10	C8T4S6I6.DB10k. <u>N400</u>	0.02	197.3	42.0	0.03	0.1%
		0.025	99.8	20.6	0.02	0.1%

An Example

5. Negative Behavior Analysis Experiment and Evaluation



Conclusions

We have proposed a simple but very efficient NSP mining algorithm: e-NSP. E-NSP includes:

- A formal definition, negative containment, to define how a data sequence contains a negative sequence.
- A negative conversion strategy to convert negative containing problems to positive containing problems.
- A method to calculate the supports of NSC only using the corresponding PSP.
- A simple but efficient approach to generate NSC.

The experimental results and comparisons on 14 datasets from different data characteristics perspectives have clearly shown that e-NSP is much more efficient than existing approaches.



10. Coupled/Group Behavior Analysis



References

- Can Wang, Zhong She, Longbing Cao. <u>Coupled Clustering Ensemble: Incorporating</u> <u>Coupling Relationships Both between Base Clusterings and Objects</u>, ICDE2013.
- Longbing Cao, Yuming Ou, Philip S Yu. <u>Coupled Behavior Analysis with Applications</u>, IEEE Trans. on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 24(8): 1378-1392 (2012).
- Longbing Cao, Yuming Ou, Philip S YU, Gang Wei. <u>Detecting Abnormal Coupled</u> <u>Sequences and Sequence Changes in Group-based Manipulative Trading Behaviors</u>, KDD2010, 85-94.
- Yin Song, Longbing Cao, et al. <u>Coupled Behavior Analysis for Capturing Coupling</u> <u>Relationships in Group-based Market Manipulation</u>, KDD 2012, 976-984.
- Yin Song and Longbing Cao. <u>Graph-based Coupled Behavior Analysis: A Case Study</u> <u>on Detecting Collaborative Manipulations in Stock Markets</u>, IJCNN 2012, 1-8.
- Can Wang, Mingchun Wang, Zhong She, Longbing Cao. <u>CD: A Coupled</u> <u>Discretization Algorithm</u>, PAKDD2012, 407-418





What is Coupled Behavior?

Longbing Cao, In-depth Behavior Understanding and Use: the Behavior Informatics Approach, *Information Science*, 180(17); 3067-3085, 2010.











Relationship crossing behaviors



THE ADVANCED ANALYTICS INSTITUTE

Behavior Coupling Types

- Logic/semantic relation based behavior coupling
- Statistical/Probabilistic relation based behavior coupling





Logic/Semantic Relation based Group Behavior Analysis

Longbing Cao. <u>Combined Mining: Analyzing Object and Pattern Relations for Discovering and Constructing Complex</u> <u>but Actionable Patterns</u>, WIREs Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery.

Longbing Cao. Zhao Y., Zhang, C. <u>Mining Impact-Targeted Activity Patterns in Imbalanced Data</u>, IEEE Trans. on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 20(8): 1053-1066, 2008.



Coupling relationships

From temporal aspect

- Serial Coupling: $TS_1; TS_2; \cdots; TS_n$
- Interleaving Coupling: $TS_1 : TS_2 : \cdots : TS_n$
- Shared-variable Coupling: $TS_1|||TS_2||| \cdots |||TS_n|$
- Channel System Coupling: $TS_1 \mid TS_2 \mid \cdots \mid TS_n$
- Synchronous Coupling: $TS_1 \parallel TS_2 \parallel \cdots \parallel TS_n$

From inferential aspect

- Causal Coupling: $TS_1 \rightarrow TS_2$
- Precedential Coupling: $TS_1 \Rightarrow TS_2$
- Intentional Coupling: $TS_1 \twoheadrightarrow TS_2$
- Inclusive Coupling: $TS_1 \mapsto TS_2$
- Exclusive Coupling: $TS_1 \oplus TS_2$

From combinational aspect

- Hierarchical Coupling: $f(g(TS_1, TS_2, \cdots, TS_n))$
- Hybrid Coupling: f(TS₁).g(TS₂), f(TS₁)*, (TS₁)^ω
- One-Party-Multiple-Behavior Coupling: $f(TS_1, TS_2, \dots, TS_n)^{[A_1]}$
- Multiple-Party-One-Behavior Coupling: f(TS₁)^[A₁A₂...,A_n]
- Multiple-Party-Multiple-Behavior Coupling: f(TS₁, TS₂, ..., TS_n)^[A1A2...An]



Basic Behavior Patterns

- Tracing: Different actions with sequential order. $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$
- Consequence: Different actions have causalities in occurrence. $\{a_i \rightarrow a_j\}$
- Synchronization: Different actions occur at the same time. $\{a_1 \leftrightarrow, \dots, \leftrightarrow a_n\}$
- Combination: Different actions occur in concurrency. $\{a_1 || a_2 ||, \dots, || a_n\}$




- Exclusion: Different actions occur mutually exclusively. $\{a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus, \dots, \oplus a_n\}$
- Precedence: Different actions have required precedence $\{a_i \Rightarrow a_j\}$

And more to be explored...

- Sequential Combination $\longrightarrow A \times B \times C \times \cdots$
- Parallel Combination $\longrightarrow A \otimes B \otimes C \otimes \cdots$
- Nested Combination
- Fuzzy or probabilistic Combination



Logic Coupling Based Combined Pattern Pairs

DEFINITION EXTENDED COMBINED PATTERN PAIRS. An Extended Combined Pattern Pair (ECPP) is a special combined pattern pair as follows



Logic Coupling Based Combined Pattern Clusters

DEFINITION EXTENDED COMBINED PATTERN SEQUENCES. An Extended Combined Pattern Sequence (ECPC), or called Incremental Combined Pattern Sequence (ICPS), is a special combined pattern cluster with additional items appending to the adjacent local patterns incrementally.



where $\forall i, 1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, $X_{i+1} \cap X_i = X_i$ and $X_{i+1} \setminus X_i = X_{e,i} \neq \emptyset$, i.e., X_{i+1} is an increment of X_i . The above cluster of rules actually makes a sequence of rules, which can show the impact of the increment of patterns on the outcomes.



Multi-group Pattern Relation

- Type A: Demographics differentiated combined pattern
 - Customers with the same actions but different demographics
 - \rightarrow different classes/business impact

Type A:
$$\begin{cases} A_1 + D_1 & \to & \text{quick payer} \\ A_1 + D_2 & \to & \text{moderate payer} \\ A_1 + D_3 & \to & \text{slow payer} \end{cases}$$



Multi-group Pattern Relation

- Type B: Action differentiated combined pattern
 - Customers with the same demographics but taking different actions
 - \rightarrow different classes/business impact

Type B:
$$\begin{cases} A_1 + D_1 & \to & \text{quick payer} \\ A_2 + D_1 & \to & \text{moderate payer} \\ A_3 + D_1 & \to & \text{slow payer} \end{cases}$$



Multiple Group Pattern Relations

An Example of Combined Pattern Clusters

Clusters	Rules	$X_{\mathbf{p}}$	X	e	T	Cnt	Conf	$I_{\mathbf{r}}$	$I_{\rm c}$	Lift	$Cont_{\mathbf{p}}$	$Cont_{e}$	Lift of	Lift of
		demographics	arrangements	repayments		1	(%)				_		$X_{\mathbf{p}} \to T$	$X_{\mathbf{e}} \to T$
\mathcal{P}_1	P_5	marital:sin	irregular	cash or post	Α	400	83.0	1.12	0.67	1.80	1.01	2.00	0.90	1.79
	P_6	&gender:F	withhold	cash or post	Α	520	78.4	1.00		1.70	0.89	1.89	0.90	1.90
	P_7	&benefit:N	withhold &	cash or post	В	119	80.4	1.21		2.28	1.33	2.06	1.10	1.71
			irregular	& withhold										
	P_8		withhold	cash or post	В	643	61.2	1.07		1.73	1.19	1.57	1.10	1.46
				& withhold										
	P_9		withhold &	withhold &	В	237	60.6	0.97		1.72	1.07	1.55	1.10	1.60
			vol. deduct	direct debit										
	P_{10}		cash	agent	С	33	60.0	1.12		3.23	1.18	3.07	1.05	2.74
\mathcal{P}_2	P_{11}	age:65+	withhold	cash or post	Α	1980	93.3	0.86	0.59	2.02	1.06	1.63	1.24	1.90
	P_{12}		irregular	cash or post	Α	4F 2	88.7	0.87		1.92	1.08	1.55	1.24	1.79
	P_{13}		withhold &	cash or post	Α	132	85.7	0.96		1.86	1.18	1.50	1.24	1.57
			irregular											
	P_{14}		withhold &	withhold	C	50	63.3	2.91		3.40	2.47	4.01	0.85	1.38
			irregular											



Multi-Group Combined Patterns





$$\begin{array}{l} TMC \rightarrow U_1 \\ TMC, GPS \rightarrow U_2 \\ TMC, GPS, DAG \rightarrow U_2 \\ TMC, GPS, DAG, PPJ \rightarrow U_3 \\ TMC, GPS, DAG, PPJ, OMF \rightarrow U_0 \\ TMC, GPS, DAG, PPJ, OMF, IKR \rightarrow U_{-1} \\ TMC, GPS, DAG, PPJ, OMF, IKR, TMC \rightarrow U_1 \\ TMC, GPS, DAG, PPJ, OMF, IKR, TMC, PPJ \rightarrow U_3 \end{array}$$



(6)

Multi-Group Combined Patterns

 $\begin{cases} PLN \rightarrow T \\ PLN, DOC \rightarrow T \\ PLN, DOC, DOC \rightarrow T \\ PLN, DOC, DOC, DOC \rightarrow T \\ PLN, DOC, DOC, DOC, REA \rightarrow T \\ PLN, DOC, DOC, DOC, REA, IES \rightarrow T \end{cases}$

 Divergence vs. convergence of group behaviors





Statistical/Probabilistic Behavior Coupling Analysis

Yin Song, Longbing Cao, et al. <u>Coupled Behavior Analysis for Capturing Coupling Relationships in Group-based</u> <u>Market Manipulation</u>, KDD 2012, 976-984.

Yin Song and Longbing Cao. <u>Graph-based Coupled Behavior Analysis: A Case Study on Detecting Collaborative</u> <u>Manipulations in Stock Markets</u>, IJCNN 2012, 1-8.

Longbing Cao, Yuming Ou, Philip S Yu. <u>Coupled Behavior Analysis with Applications</u>, IEEE Trans. on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 24(8): 1378-1392 (2012).



Behavior Feature Matrix

 $I \text{ actors (customers): } \{\mathscr{E}_1, \mathscr{E}_2, \dots, \mathscr{E}_I\}$

 J_i behaviors for an actor $\mathscr{E}_i : \{\mathbb{B}_{i1}, \mathbb{B}_{i2}, \dots, \mathbb{B}_{iJ_i}\}$ Behavior $\mathbb{B}_{ij} : \overrightarrow{\mathbb{B}}_{ij} = ([p_{ij}]_1, [p_{ij}]_2, \cdots, [p_{ij}]_K)$

Behavior Feature Matrix:

$$FM(\mathbb{B}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{B}_{11} & \mathbb{B}_{12} & \dots & \mathbb{B}_{1J_{max}} \\ \mathbb{B}_{21} & \mathbb{B}_{22} & \dots & \mathbb{B}_{2J_{max}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{B}_{I1} & \mathbb{B}_{I2} & \dots & \mathbb{B}_{IJ_{max}} \end{pmatrix}$$



An Example of Stock Market

Transactional Data



	Investor	Time	Direction	Price	Volume
B1 B2 B3 B4 B5 B6	(1)	09:59:52	Sell	12.0	155
	(2)	10:00:35	Buy	11.8	2000
	(3)	10:00:56	Buy	11.8	150
	(2)	10:01:23	Sell	11.9	200
	(1)	10:01:38	Buy	11.8	200
	(4)	10:01:47	Buy	11.9	200
B7	(5)	10:02:02	Buy	11.9	250
R8	(2)	10:02:04	Sell	11.9	500



Matrix



Behavior Intra-relationship

Definition 2. (Intra-Coupled Behaviors) Actor \mathscr{E}_i 's behaviors \mathbb{B}_{ij} $(1 \leq j \leq J_{max})$ are intra-coupled in terms of coupling function $\theta_j(\cdot)$,

$$\mathbb{B}_{i}^{\theta} ::= \mathbb{B}_{i} (\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{O}, \mathscr{C}, \theta) | \sum_{j=1}^{J_{max}} \theta_{j}(\cdot) \odot \mathbb{B}_{ij}$$

$$|\theta_{j}(\cdot)| \ge \theta_{0}$$

$$(1)$$

where θ_0 is the intra-coupling threshold, $\sum_{j=1}^{J_{max}} \odot$ means the subsequent behavior of \mathbb{B}_i is \mathbb{B}_{ij} intra-coupled with $\theta_j(\cdot)$, and so on, with nondeterminism.

$$FM(\mathbb{B}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{B}_{11} & \mathbb{B}_{12} & \dots & \mathbb{B}_{1J_{max}} \\ \mathbb{B}_{21} & \mathbb{B}_{22} & \dots & \mathbb{B}_{2J_{max}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{B}_{I1} & \mathbb{B}_{I2} & \dots & \mathbb{B}_{IJ_{max}} \end{pmatrix}$$



Behavior Inter-relationship

Definition 3. (Inter-Coupled Behaviors) Actor \mathscr{E}_i 's behaviors \mathbb{B}_{ij} $(1 \leq i \leq I)$ are inter-coupled with each other in terms of coupling function $\eta_i(\cdot)$,

$$\mathbb{B}_{j}^{\eta} ::= \mathbb{B}_{j}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{O}, \mathscr{C}, \eta) | \sum_{i=1}^{I} \eta_{i}(\cdot) \odot \mathbb{B}_{ij}$$

$$|\eta_{i}(\cdot)| \ge \eta_{0}$$

$$(3)$$

where η_0 is the inter-coupling threshold, $\sum_i^I \odot$ means the subsequent behavior of \mathbb{B}_i is \mathbb{B}_{ij} inter-coupled with $\eta_i(\cdot)$, and so on, with nondeterminism.

$$FM(\mathbb{B}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{B}_{11} & \mathbb{B}_{12} & \dots & \mathbb{B}_{1J_{max}} \\ \mathbb{B}_{21} & \mathbb{B}_{22} & \dots & \mathbb{B}_{2J_{max}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{B}_{I1} & \mathbb{B}_{I2} & \dots & \mathbb{B}_{IJ_{max}} \end{pmatrix}$$



Behavior Relationship

Definition 4 (Coupled Behaviors) Coupled behaviors \mathbb{B}_c refer to behaviors $\mathbb{B}_{i_1 j_1}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{i_2 j_2}$ that are coupled in terms of relationships $f(\theta(\cdot), \eta(\cdot))$, where $(i_1 \neq i_2)$ $\lor (j_1 \neq j_2) \land (1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq I) \land (1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq J_{max})$

$$\mathbb{B}_{c} = (\mathbb{B}_{i_{1}j_{1}}^{\theta})^{\eta} * (\mathbb{B}_{i_{2}j_{2}}^{\theta})^{\eta} ::= \mathbb{B}_{ij}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{O}, \mathscr{C}, \mathscr{R}) | \sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}=1}^{I} \sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}=1}^{J_{max}} f(\theta_{j_{1}j_{2}}(\cdot), \eta_{i_{1}i_{2}}(\cdot)) \odot (\mathbb{B}_{i_{1}j_{1}}\mathbb{B}_{i_{2}j_{2}})$$
(5)
$$FM(\mathbb{B}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{B}_{11} & \mathbb{B}_{12} \\ \mathbb{B}_{21} & \mathbb{B}_{22} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{B}_{I1} & \mathbb{B}_{I2} \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{B}_{IJ_{max}} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{B}_{I1} & \mathbb{B}_{I2} \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

THE ADVANCED ANALYTICS INSTITUTE

Behavior Behavior Analysis

Theorem 1. (Coupled Behavior Analysis (CBA)) The analysis of coupled behaviors (CBA Problem for short) is to build the objective function $g(\cdot)$ under the condition that behaviors are coupled with each other by coupling function $f(\cdot)$, and satisfy the following conditions.

$$f(\cdot) ::= f(\theta(\cdot), \eta(\cdot)), \tag{9}$$
$$g(\cdot)|(f(\cdot) \ge f_0) \ge g_0 \tag{10}$$





Coupled Hidden Markov Model-based Abnormal Coupled Behavior Analysis

Longbing Cao, Yuming Ou, Philip S Yu. Coupled Behavior Analysis with Application, *IEEE Trans. Knowledge and Data Engineering.*

Cao, L., Ou Y, Yu PS, Wei G. Detecting Abnormal Coupled Sequences and Sequence Changes in Group-based Manipulative Trading Behaviors, *KDD2010*.



Pool manipulation

TABLE 1					
An example	of buy	and	sell	orders	
	10.				

Investor	Time	Direction	Price	Volume
(1)	09:59:52	Sell	12.0	155
(2)	10:00:35	Buy	11.8	2000
(3)	10:00:56	Buy	11.8	150
(2)	10:01:23	Sell	11.9	200
(1)	10:01:38	Buy	11.8	200
(4)	10:01:47	Buy	11.9	200
(5)	10:02:02	Buy	11.9	250
(2)	10:02:04	Sell	11.9	500









(a) An Example of Coupled Trading Behaviors in Stock Markets



Construct behavior sequences









$$Category: \{\frac{Actor_{i} - Operation_{i}}{Attributes_{i}} \xrightarrow{\eta} \\ \frac{Actor_{j} - Operation_{j}}{Attributes_{j}}\}_{i,j=1;winsize}^{I,J}$$
(14)





CHMM Based Coupled Sequence Modeling

- Coupled behavior sequences
 - Multiple sequences $\begin{array}{l}
 \Phi_1 = \{\phi_{11}, \dots, \phi_{1T}\}, \\
 \Phi_2 = \{\phi_{21}, \dots, \phi_{2F}\}, \\
 \Phi_C = \{\phi_{C1}, \dots, \phi_{CG}\}, \\
 \end{array}$ - Coupling relationship

 $R_{ij}(\Phi_i, \Phi_j)$ $R_{ij} \subset R, \qquad R_{ij}(\Phi_i, \Phi_j) = \emptyset$ - Behavior properties

 $\phi_{ik}(p_{ik,1},\ldots,p_{ik,L})$









CBA - CHMM

$CBA \ problem \rightarrow CHMM \ model$	(15)
$\Phi(\mathbb{B}_c) category \to X$	(16)
$M(\Phi(\mathbb{B}_c)) \phi_{ik}([p_{ij}]_1,\ldots,[p_{ij}]_K)\to Y$	(17)
$f(\theta(\cdot), \eta(\cdot)) \to Z$	(18)

Initial distribution of
$$\Phi(\mathbb{B}_c)|category \to \pi$$
 (19)



Framework: abnormal CBA



Fig. 5. Framework of abnormal coupled behavior detection





Hidden States

 $S^{buy} = \{Positive \ Buy, Neutral \ Buy, Negative \ Buy\}$ $S^{sell} = \{Positive \ Sell, Neutral \ Sell, Negative \ Sell\}$

 $S^{trade} = \{Market \ Up, Market \ Down\}$





Observation Sequences

Activity (A) $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, \}$ $a_i = (a(t_i), p(t_i), v(t_i))$ $a(t_i) = \{buy \mid sell \mid trade\}$ $p(t_i) = \{buy \ price \mid sell \ price \mid trade \ price\}$ $v(t_i) = \{buy \ volume \mid sell \ volume \mid trade \ volume\}$ Interval Activity (IA)

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$$

$$A_i(a) = A_j(a)$$

$$\bar{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i}{f} \quad f = |\mathcal{A}| = n \quad \bar{v} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n v_i}{f}$$

$$IA(\mathcal{A}, \bar{p}, \bar{v}, f) \xrightarrow{\text{quantization}} IA'(p', v', f')$$



Adaptive CHMM for Detecting Sequence Changes



Figure 3: Update Point of ACHMM

$$x_{ij}^{update} = (1 - w)x_{ij}^{old} + w * x_{ij}^{new}$$
(15)

$$y_{ij}^{update} = (1 - w)y_{ij}^{old} + w * y_{ij}^{new}$$
(16)

$$z_{ij'}^{update} = (1-w)z_{ij'}^{old} + w * z_{ij'}^{new}$$
(17)

$$\pi_i^{update} = (1 - w)\pi_i^{old} + w * \pi_i^{new}$$
(18)



The Algorithm

Algorithm 1 Constructing observation sequences

Step 1: Segment the whole trading day into L intervals by a time window with the length *winsize*.

Step 2: Calculate IA for buy-order, sell-order and trade activities respectively in each window. They are denoted as IA_l^{buy} , IA_l^{sell} and IA_l^{trade} , respectively.

Step 3: Obtain $IA_l^{'buy}$, $IA_l^{'sell}$ and $IA_l^{'trade}$ by quantizing IA_l^{buy} , IA_l^{sell} and IA_l^{trade} .

Step 4: Obtain the trading activity sequnce IA^{buy} for buy-order by putting all $IA_l^{'buy}$ in a trading day together. Obtain IA^{sell} and IA^{trade} in the same way. We obtain

$$IA^{type} = IA_1^{'type}, IA_2^{'type}, \cdots, IA_L^{'type}$$
(19)

where $type \in \{buy, sell, trade\}$. IA^{buy}, IA^{sell} and IA^{trade} are the observation sequences of CHMM in the day. Step 5: Repeat Step 1-4 for each trading day



Algorithm 2 Detecting abnormal trading sequences

Step 1: Construct trading sequences including training sequences $Seq_1, Seq_2, \cdots, Seq_K$ and test sequences Seq'₁, Seq'₂, ..., Seq'_{K'}. Step 2: Train the ACHMM model on the training se-

quences;

Step 3: Compute the mean (μ) and standard deviation (σ) of probability of training sequences according to the following formulas:

$$\mu = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{K} Pr(Seq_i | ACHMM)}{K} \tag{20}$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} Pr(Seq_i | ACHMM)) - \mu}$$
(21)

where K is the total number of training sequences, mean μ represents the centroid of model ACHMM, and the standard deviation σ represents the radius of model ACHMM. **Step 4**: For each test sequence Seq_i' , calculate its distance D_i to the centroid of model by

$$D_i = \frac{\mu - Pr(Seq'_i|\mathcal{M})}{\sigma} \tag{22}$$

Consequently, Seq'_i is an exceptional pattern, if it satisfies:

$$D_i > \psi_0 \tag{23}$$

where ψ_0 is a given threshold.





- Benchmark Models
 - HMM-B
 - HMM-S
 - -HMM-T
 - -IHMM
 - CHMM
 - ACHMM



Evaluation

• Technical performance

• Business performance

$$Return = ln \frac{p_t}{p_{t-1}} \tag{48}$$

Abnormal Return =
$$Return - (\gamma + \xi Return^{market})$$
 (49)





Figure 6: Recall of Six Models

Figure 7: Specificity of Six Models



• Business Performance



Fig. 9. Return of Six Models

Fig. 10. Abnormal Return of Six Models



• Computational cost

Computational performance							
		IHMM	CHMM	ACHMM			
winsize	Training time (s)	0.574	11.978	11.988			
=10 (m)	Test time (s)	0.056	1.296	3.576			
winsize	Training time (s)	0.256	4.929	4.933			
=20 (m)	Test time (s)	0.047	0.655	3.486			
winsize	Training time (s)	0.206	4.121	4.119			
=30 (m)	Test time (s)	0.042	0.447	2.429			
winsize	Training time (s)	0.109	2.003	2.004			
=60 (m)	Test time (s)	0.036	0.221	1.206			

TADIES





Conditional Probability Distributionbased Coupled Behavior Analysis

Yin Song, Longbing Cao, et al. <u>Coupled Behavior Analysis for Capturing Coupling Relationships in Group-based</u> <u>Market Manipulation</u>, KDD 2012, 976-984.

Yin Song and Longbing Cao. Graph-based Coupled Behavior Analysis: A Case Study on Detecting Collaborative Manipulations in Stock Markets, IJCNN 2012, 1-8.







(a) The Coupled Behaviors (b) Link Generation Using with Reference and Analy- Reference Properties. sis Properties.


Graph-based Coupled Behavior Presentation



(c) The Structure of Graph-based Coupled Behavior Model







Figure 2: The Work Flow of the Proposed Framework.



Propositional Coupled Behavior



(a) An Example of the Subgraphs for Each Target Behavior

	$X^{(t)}$	RF_1	RF_2	•••	RF_n
$trade_1$	x_1	rf_{11}	rf_{21}	•••	rf_{n1}
$trade_2$	x_2	rf_{12}	rf_{22}	***	rf_{n2}
:	÷	:	:	÷	:

(b) An Example of the Relational Features for Each Target Behavior • CPD

$p(X^{(t)}|RF_1, RF_2, \cdots, RF_n)$





- Estimate p(RF|X) $p(RF_1|X^{(t)}) \quad p(RF_2|X^{(t)}) \quad \cdots, \quad p(RF_n|X^{(t)})$
- Estimate CPD $p(X^{(t)}|RF_1, \cdots, RF_n)$

 $\alpha p(X^{(t)}) p(RF_1|X^{(t)}) p(RF_2|X^{(t)}) \cdots p(RF_n|X^{(t)})$





• CBA problem \rightarrow CPD problem

$$CBA \ problem \rightarrow SRL \ Modeling$$
 (5)

$$f(\theta(\cdot), \eta(\cdot)) \to the \ CPD \ p(X^{(t)}|RF_1, \cdots, RF_n)$$
 (6)





Relational Bayesian Classifiers (RBCs)

The CPD $p(X^{(t)}|RF_1, \dots, RF_n)$ can be estimated as

$$\alpha p(X^{(t)}) p(RF_1|X^{(t)}) p(RF_2|X^{(t)}) \cdots p(RF_n|X^{(t)})$$
 (8)

where α is the normalized constant.

• Conditional likelihood:

$$CL(\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{k}}) = \prod_{\mathbf{b}_{i}^{(\mathbf{t})} \in \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{k}}} p(X^{(t)} = x_{b_{i}^{(t)}} | rf_{1i}, rf_{2i}, \cdots, rf_{ni}; M)$$





Relational Probability Trees (RPTs)

The RPT algorithm uses aggregation functions (e.g, mode, count, proportion and degree) to transform the relational features of subgraphs to propositional features and use these features to construct probability trees.







UTS: AA THE ADVANCED ANALYTICS INSTITUTE

6. Coupled Behavior Analysis Coupled Nominal Similarity Analysis

The 20th ACM Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM 2011)

Coupled Nominal Similarity in Unsupervised Learning

Can Wang, Longbing Cao, Mingchun Wang, Jinjiu Li, Wei Wei, Yuming Ou

University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

Wednesday, 26 Oct. 2011, Glasgow, UK



Coupled Nominal Similarity

- Similarity Analysis
- Related Work
- Motivation: Example
- Coupled Nominal Similarity
 - Intra-coupled Interaction
 - Inter-coupled Interaction
- Theoretical Analysis
- Back to Example
- Experiment and Evaluation
- Conclusion





The more two objects resemble

The larger the similarity







Motivation

Movie	Director	Actor	Genre	Class
Godfather II	Scorsese	De Niro	Crime	G_1
Good Fellas	Coppola	De Niro	Crime	G_1
Vertigo	Hitchcock	Stewart	Thriller	G_2
N by NW	Hitchcock	Grant	Thriller	G_2
Bishop's Wife	Koster	Grant	Comedy	G_2
Harvey	Koster	Stewart	Comedy	G_2

Matching Coefficient: ____

Similar directors

Sim(*Scorsese, Coppola*) = 0;

Sim(Koster, Hitchcock) = Sim(Koster, Coppola).

Value Frequency Distribution:

Former, Larger

Sim (Scorsese, Coppola) < Sim(Koster, Hitchcock)





Coupled Nominal Similarity



DEFINITION 4.1. Given an information table S, the Coupled Attribute Value Similarity (CAVS) between attribute values x and y of feature a_j is:

$$\delta_j^A(x,y) = \delta_j^{Ia}(x,y) \cdot \delta_j^{Ie}(x,y) \tag{4.1}$$

where δ_j^{Ia} and δ_j^{Ie} are IaAVS and IeAVS, respectively.

Intra-coupled Interaction: $\delta_j^{Ia}(x,y)$ Inter-coupled Interaction: $\delta_j^{Ie}(x,y)$



Intra-coupled Interaction

DEFINITION 4.2. Given an information table S, the Intracoupled Attribute Value Similarity (IaAVS) between attribute values x and y of feature a_j is:

$$\delta_j^{Ia}(x,y) = \frac{|g_j(x)| \cdot |g_j(y)|}{|g_j(x)| + |g_j(y)| + |g_j(x)| \cdot |g_j(y)|}.$$
 (4.2)

Rationale:



- The Greater similarity is assigned to the attribute value pair which owns approximately equal frequencies.
- The higher these frequencies are, the closer such two values are.

IaAVS has been captured to characterize the value similarity in terms of attribute value occurrence times.

THE ADVANCED ANALYTICS INSTITUTE

Inter-coupled Interaction

Modified Value Distance Matrix:

$$\begin{split} D_{j|c}(x,y) &= \sum_{g \in L} |P_{c|j}(\{g\}|x) - P_{c|j}(\{g\}|y)| \\ & \textbf{Object Co-occurrence} \\ & \textbf{Probability} \end{split}$$

Inter-coupled Relative Similarity based on Power Set (IRSP), Universal Set (IRSU), Join Set (IRSJ), and Intersection Set (IRSI).

IRSP:
$$\delta_{j|k}^{P}(x,y) = \min_{W \subseteq V_{k}} \{2 - P_{k|j}(W|x) - P_{k|j}(\overline{W}|y)\}$$

IRSU:
$$\delta_{j|k}^{U}(x,y) = 2 - \sum_{w \in V_k} \max\{P_{k|j}(\{w\}|x), P_{k|j}(\{w\}|y)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IRSI:} \quad \delta_{j|k}^{I}(x,y) &= \sum_{w \in \varphi_{j \to k}(x)} \min\{P_{k|j}(\{w\}|x), P_{k|j}(\{w\}|y)\} \bigcup_{w \in \varphi_{j \to k}(x)} \bigcup_{w \in \varphi_{j \to k}(y)} \nabla_{\varphi_{j \to$$

Inter-coupled Interaction

DEFINITION 4.5. Given an information table S, the Intercoupled Attribute Value Similarity (IeAVS) between attribute values x and y of feature a_j is:

$$\delta_j^{Ie}(x,y) = \sum_{k=1,k\neq j}^n \alpha_k \delta_{j|k}(x,y), \qquad (4.7)$$

where α_k is the weight parameter for feature a_k , $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k = 1$, $\alpha_k \in [0, 1]$, and $\delta_{j|k}(x, y)$ is one of the inter-coupled relative similarity candidates.

IeAVS focuses on the object co-occurrence comparisons with four inter-coupled relative similarity options.

Coupled Object Similarity (COS) between objects:

$$COS(u_{i_1}, u_{i_2}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_j^A(x_{i_1j}, x_{i_2j}) \text{ where } \delta_j^A(x, y) = \delta_j^{Ia}(x, y) \cdot \delta_j^{Ie}(x, y) \cdot \delta_$$

Theoretical Analysis

- Computational Accuracy Equivalence:

THEOREM 5.1. IRSP, IRSU, IRSJ and IRSI are all equivalent to one another.² Inter-coupled Relative Similarity

 $\mathsf{IRSP} \iff \mathsf{IRSU} \iff \mathsf{IRSJ} \iff \mathsf{IRSI}$

- Computational Complexity Comparison:

AD	Metric	Calculation Steps	Flops per Step	Complexity
	MRSP	nR(R-1)/2	$2(n-1)2^{R}$	$O(n^2 R^2 2^R)$
	IRSU	nR(R-1)/2	2(n-1)R	$O(n^2 R^2 R)$
	IRSJ	nR(R-1)/2	2(n-1)P	$O(n^2 R^2 R)$
	IRSI	nR(R-1)/2	2(n-1)Q	$O(n^2 R^2 R)$

 $2^{R} > R \ge P \ge Q$ \downarrow $IRSP \ge IRSU \ge IRSJ \ge IRSI$

R: The maximal number of

attribute values.



Back to Example

Movie	Director	Actor	Genre	Class
Godfather II	Scorsese	De Niro	Crime	G_1
Good Fellas	Coppola	De Niro	Crime	G_1
Vertigo	Hitchcock	Stewart	Thriller	G_2
N by NW	Hitchcock	Grant	Thriller	G_2
Bishop's Wife	Koster	Grant	Comedy	G_2
Harvey	Koster	Stewart	Comedy	G_2

Coupled Nominal Similarity:

Sim(Scorsese, Coppola) = Sim(Coppola, Coppola) = 0.33 Sim(Koster, Hitchcock) = 0.25 Sim(Koster, Coppola) = 0 Sim(Koster, Koster) = Sim(Hitchcock, Hitchcock) = 0.5

Scorsese and Coppola are very similar directors Sim(Koster, Hitchcock) > Sim(Koster, Coppola) Sim (Scorsese, Coppola) > Sim(Koster, Hitchcock) Sim (Koster, Koster) > Sim(Scorsese, Coppola)



Experiment and Evaluation

Several experiments are performed on extensive UCI data sets to show the **effectiveness** and **efficiency**.

Coupled Similarity Comparison

The goal is to show the obvious superiority of *IRSI, compared* with the most time-consuming one *IRSP*.

COS Application (COD)

Four groups of experiments are conducted on the same data sets by k-modes(*KM*) with ADD (existing methods), *KM* with COD, spectral clustering(*SC*) with ADD, and SC with COD.



6. Coupled Behavior Analysis Coupled Similarity Comparison



Coupled Similarity Comparison

In summary, all of the above experiment results clearly show that *IRSI outperforms IRSU, IRSJ, and IRSP in terms* of the computational complexity, no matter how small or large, simple or complicated a data set is.

In particular, with the increasing numbers of either features or attribute values, *IRSI demonstrates superior efficiency compared to* the others. *IRSJ and IRSU follow, with IRSP being the* most time-consuming, especially for the large-scale data set.



Application



6. Coupled Behavior Analysis Experiment and Evaluation

We draw the following two conclusions:

- Intra-coupled relative similarity IRSI is the most efficient one when compared with IRSP, IRSU and IRSJ, especially for large-scale data.
- Our proposed object dissimilarity metric COD is better than others, such as dependency aggregation only ADD, for categorical data in terms of clustering qualities.







11. Challenges and Prospects





9. Challenges and Prospects of Complex Behavior Computing

Modeling and Analysis of Complex Behaviors





9. Challenges and Prospects of Complex Behavior Computing Modeling and Analysis of Complex Behaviors

We could develop two directions to explicate complex behaviors: **qualitative and quantitative behavior analytics**

With the formal representation of coupled behaviors, the **qualitative analytics** addresses the task of behavior reasoning and verification, while the **quantitative research** targets behavior learning and evaluation. Finally, an appropriate way could be chosen to integrate these two studies to obtain an **integrated understanding** of the implicit complex behaviors from both qualitative and quantitative aspects.

During this process, many open issues are worth systematic investigation along with case studies from aspects such as **behavior reasoning, behavior learning, behavior evaluation, behavior integration** at individual but more on group levels.



9. Challenges and Prospects of Complex Behavior Computing

Modeling and Analysis of Complex Behaviors



Fundamental

- Formal methods
- Reasoning
- Modelling check
- Quantitative representation and learning



Individual Behaviour Learning

- Intention learning
- Negative sequence/behaviour analysis
- Complex behaviour/sequence analysis
- Behaviour impact learning
- Behaviour utility learning
- Early prediction of high impact/utility behaviours





Group-oriented Coupled Learning

- Group intent learning
- Coupled sequence modelling and analysis
- Coupling relationship learning
- Heterogeneous behaviour learning
- Social influence analysis
- Contrast group analysis
- Divergence vs. convergence of group behaviors



Noniidness learning

O A	A_1	A_2	A_J	$M_1 \qquad M_Q$
$_{I}O_{1}$	\mathcal{V}_{11}	\mathcal{V}_{12}	\mathcal{V}_{1J}	C_{11} C_{1Q}
O_2	\mathcal{V}_{21}	\mathcal{V}_{22} //	\mathcal{V}_{2J}	C_{21} // C_{2Q}
[/ · · ·		A//		///\
O_n	\mathcal{V}_{n1}	$\left \mathcal{V}_{n2} \right \dots$	\mathcal{V}_{nJ}	C_{n1} $(C_{nQ}$
\		\/		\
$\supset O_N$	\mathcal{V}_{N1}	\mathcal{V}_{N2}^{*}	\mathcal{V}_{NJ}	$C_{N1}^{*} \dots C_{NQ}$

FIGURE 3. Information table and couplings for noniidness learning.


References

• Yin Song, Longbing Cao, et al. <u>Coupled Behavior Analysis for Capturing Coupling Relationships in Group-based</u> <u>Market Manipulation</u>, KDD 2012, 976-984.

Yin Song and Longbing Cao. <u>Graph-based Coupled Behavior Analysis: A Case Study on Detecting Collaborative</u> <u>Manipulations in Stock Markets</u>, IJCNN 2012, 1-8.

Longbing Cao, Yuming Ou, Philip S Yu. <u>Coupled Behavior Analysis with Applications</u>, IEEE Trans. on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 24(8): 1378-1392 (2012).

Zhong She, Can Wang, and Longbing Cao. A Coupled Framework of Clustering Ensembles, AAAI2012 (poster)

Can Wang, and **Longbing Cao**. Modeling and Analysis of Social Activity Process, in Longbing Cao and Philip S Yu (eds) Behavior Computing, 21-35, Springer, 2012

Can Wang, Mingchun Wang, Zhong She, **Longbing Cao**. <u>CD: A Coupled Discretization Algorithm</u>, PAKDD2012, 407-418

Can Wang, **Longbing Cao**, Minchun Wang, Jinjiu Li, Wei Wei, Yuming Ou. <u>Coupled Nominal Similarity in</u> <u>Unsupervised Learning</u>, CIKM 2011, 973-978.

Xiangjun Dong, Zhigang Zhao, Longbing Cao, Yanchang Zhao, Chengqi Zhang, Jinjiu Li, Wei Wei, Yuming Ou. <u>e-NSP:</u> <u>Efficient Negative Sequential Pattern Mining Based on Identified Positive Patterns Without Database Rescanning</u>, CIKM 2011, 825-830.





• Longbing Cao, In-depth Behavior Understanding and Use: the Behavior Informatics Approach, Information Science, 180(17); 3067-3085, 2010.

Longbing Cao, Yuming Ou, Philip S YU, Gang Wei. <u>Detecting Abnormal Coupled Sequences and</u> <u>Sequence Changes in Group-based Manipulative Trading Behaviors</u>, KDD2010, 85-94.

Zhigang Zheng, Yanchang Zhao, Ziye Zuo**Longbing Cao**, Huaifeng Zhang, Yanchang Zhao, Chengqi Zhang. <u>An Efficient GA-Based Algorithm for Mining Negative Sequential Patterns</u>, PAKDD2010, 262-273

• **Longbing Cao**, Philip S Yu, Behavior Informatics: An Informatics Perspective for Behavior Studies, The Intelligent Informatics Bulletin, 10(1): 6-11, 2009.

Zhigang Zheng, Yanchang Zhao, Ziye Zuo, **Longbing Cao**. <u>Negative-GSP: An Efficient Method for</u> <u>Mining Negative Sequential Patterns</u>, AusDM 2009: 63-67.

Shanshan Wu, Yanchang Zhao, Huaifeng Zhang, Chengqi Zhang, Longbing Cao, Hans Bohlscheid. Debt Detection in Social Security by Adaptive Sequence Classification, KSEM 2009: 192-203.

Yanchang Zhao, Huaifeng Zhang, Shanshan Wu, Jian Pei, **Longbing Cao**, Chengqi Zhang and Hans Bohlscheid. <u>Debt Detection in Social Security by Sequence Classification Using Both Positive and</u> <u>Negative Patterns</u>, ECML/PKDD2009, 648-663, 2009.

Yanchang Zhao, Huaifeng Zhang, Longbing Cao, Chengqi Zhang and Hans Bohlscheid. <u>Mining Both</u> <u>Positive and Negative Impact-Oriented Sequential Rules From Transactional Data</u>, PAKDD2009, pp.656-663.





•

Longbing Cao, <u>Behavior Informatics and Analytics: Let Behavior Talk</u>, DDDM2008 joint with ICDM2008, 87 - 96.

Longbing Cao Yuming Ou. <u>Market Microstructure Patterns Powering Trading and Surveillance</u> <u>Agents</u>. Journal of Universal Computer Sciences, 14(14): 2288-2308, 2008.

Yanchang Zhao, Huaifeng Zhang, **Longbing Cao**, Chengqi Zhang and Hans Bohlscheid. <u>Efficient</u> <u>Mining of Event-Oriented Negative Sequential Rules</u>, WI 08, pp. 336-342.

Longbing Cao. Zhao Y., Zhang, C. <u>Mining Impact-Targeted Activity Patterns in Imbalanced Data</u>, IEEE Trans. on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 20(8): 1053-1066, 2008.

Longbing Cao, Yanchang Zhao, Chengqi Zhang, Huaifeng Zhang. <u>Activity Mining: from Activities to</u> <u>Actions</u>, International Journal of Information Technology & Decision Making, 7(2): 259-273, 2008

Longbing Cao, <u>Behavior Informatics and Analytics: Let Behavior Talk</u>, DDDM2008 joint with ICDM2008.

Chengqi Zhang, **Longbing Cao**. Keynote: Activity Mining to Strengthen Debt Prevention, Pacific Asia Conf. on Intelligence and Security Informatics (PAISI), 2007.

Longbing Cao, Yanchang Zhao, Fernando Figueiredo, Yuming Ou, Dan Luo. <u>Mining High Impact</u> <u>Exceptional Behavior Patterns</u>, PAKDD2007 industry track, LNCS4819, 56-63, 2007.

Longbing Cao. Activity mining: challenges and prospects. ADMA2006, LNAI4093, 58



IEEE Task Force

- IEEE Task Force on Behavior and Social Informatics and Computing (BSIC)
- www.behaviorinformatics.org



Call for Papers

Behavior and Social Informatics Workshops:

PAKDD - BSI 2013 Australia

http://datamining.it.uts.edu.au/bsi/bsi2013/

• IJCAI – BSIC 2013 China

http://datamining.it.uts.edu.au/bsi/bsic2013/

• IJCAI 2013 Tutorial

– Behavior Informatics

• Special Issue with World Wide Web Journal



Your feedback is appreciated.

 Longbing Cao longbing.cao@uts.edu.au

www-staff.it.uts.edu.au/~lbcao

www.behaviorinformatics.org

